

SIBUR-NEFTEKHIM JSC

SAFETY DATA SHEET

According to Regulations (EC) 1907/2006 (REACH), (EC) 1272/2008 (CLP) & (EU) 2015/830

ACRYLIC ACID

Version: 1.1

Created: 22/02/2019

SECTION 1. IDENTIFICATION OF THE SUBSTANCE/PREPARATION AND COMPANY/UNDERTAKING

1.1. Product identifier

NAME OF SUBSTANCE: acrylic acid
SYNONYMS: 2-propenoic acid
TRADE NAMES: acrylic acid
Index No (CLP) 607-061-00-8
CAS #: 79-10-7
EC #: 201-177-9
REGISTRATION #: 01-2119452449-31-0072

1.2. Relevant identified uses of the substance

Most common technical function of substance:

- Intermediates

For the detailed identified uses of the product see Annex I.

The use of the substance should be limited to those specified in Annex I.

1.3. Details of the safety data sheet supplier

SUPPLIER

Company name: SIBUR-NEFTEKHIM JSC
Address: 390, Eastern Industrial area, Dzerzhinsk, Nizhniy Novgorod region,
606000, Russian Federation
Contact Telephone: +7 8313 27-59-09
Fax: +7 8313 27-59-99
Email Address: infosnh@snh.sibur.ru
techservice@sibur.ru
Emergency Telephone: +7 8313 27-52-98 (office hours only, GMT+3)

ONLY REPRESENTATIVE

Company name: Gazprom Marketing and Trading France
Address: 68 avenue des Champs-Élysées, Paris, 75008, France
Contact phone: +33 1 42 99 73 50
Fax: +33 1 42 99 73 99
Email address: didier.lebout@gazprom-mt.com

1.4. Emergency phone in the country of delivery:

112 (Please note that emergency numbers may vary depending upon the country of delivery though 112 remains valid as universal number)

SECTION 2. HAZARDS IDENTIFICATION

2.1. Classification according to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP)

Physical/Chemical Hazards

Flam. Liquid 3. H226: Flammable liquid and vapour.

Health Hazards

Acute Tox. 4. H302: Harmful if swallowed.

Acute Tox. 4. H312: Harmful in contact with skin.

Acute Tox. 4. H332: Harmful if inhaled.

Skin Corr. 1A. H314: Causes severe skin burns and eye damage.

STOT Single Exp. 3. H335: May cause respiratory irritation. Specific target organ toxicity - single (affected organs: respiratory tract).

Environmental hazards

Aquatic Acute 1. H400: Very toxic to aquatic life.

2.2. Classification according to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) + self-classification

Physical/Chemical Hazards

Flam. Liquid 3. H226: Flammable liquid and vapour.

Health Hazards

Acute Tox. 4. H302: Harmful if swallowed.

Acute Tox. 4. H312: Harmful in contact with skin.

Acute Tox. 4. H332: Harmful if inhaled.

Skin Corr. 1A. H314: Causes severe skin burns and eye damage.

STOT Single Exp. 3. H335: May cause respiratory irritation. Specific target organ toxicity - single (affected organs: respiratory tract).

Environmental hazards

Aquatic Acute 1. H400: Very toxic to aquatic life.

Aquatic Chronic 2. H411: Toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects.

2.3. Labelling according to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP)

Self-classification doesn't lead to additional labelling

Signal word

Danger

Hazard pictogram



GHS02:
flame



GHS05:
corrosion



GHS07:
exclamation mark



GHS09:
environment

2.4. Precautionary statements:

P210 Keep away from heat/sparks/open flames/hot surfaces. – No smoking.

P233 Keep container tightly closed.

P240 Ground/bond container and receiving equipment.

P241 Use explosion-proof electrical/ventilating/lighting/equipment.

P242 Use only non-sparking tools.

P243 Take precautionary measures against static discharge.

P260 Do not breath dust/fume/gas/mist/vapours/spray.

P261 Avoid breathing dust/fume/gas/mist/vapours/spray.

P264 Wash thoroughly after handling.

P270 Do not eat, drink or smoke when using this product.

P271 Use only outdoors or in a well-ventilated area.

- P273 Avoid release to the environment.
 P280 Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection.
 P301+P312 IF SWALLOWED: Call a POISON CENTER or doctor/physician if you feel unwell.
 P301+P330+P331 IF SWALLOWED: rinse mouth. Do NOT induce vomiting.
 P302+P352 IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of soap and water.
 P303 + P361 + P353 If on skin (or hair): Remove/Take off immediately all contaminated clothing. Rinse skin with water/shower.
 P304+P340+P312 IF INHALED: Remove victim to fresh air and keep at rest in a position comfortable for breathing. Call a POISON CENTER or doctor/physician if you feel unwell.
 P305 + P351 + P338 IF IN EYES: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing.
 P310 Immediately call a POISON CENTER or doctor/physician.
 P312 Call a POISON CENTER or doctor/physician if you feel unwell.
 P330 Rinse mouth.
 P363 Wash contaminated clothing before reuse.
 P391 Collect spillage.
 P403 + P235 Store in a well-ventilated place. Keep cool.
 P405 Store locked up.
 P501 Dispose of contents/container to hazardous or special waste collection point.

2.5. Other hazards

Assessment PBT / vPvB:

According to Annex XIII of Regulation (EC) No.1907/2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH):

- not fulfilling PBT (persistent/bioaccumulative/toxic) criteria;
- not fulfilling vPvB (very persistent/very bioaccumulative) criteria.

SECTION 3. COMPOSITION/INFORMATION ON INGREDIENTS				
Name EC No	EC No	CAS No	Content (w/w) %	Classification Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP)
Acrylic acid <i>Index No(CLP):</i> 607-061-00-8	201-177-9	79-10-7	99.5 – 99.99	H226; H302; H312; H332; H314; H335; H400; H411
The product does not contain impurities or additives that could affect product labelling and classification according to Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) in the concentration ranges specified (none Classification):				
propionic acid <i>Index No(CLP):</i> 607-089-00-0	201-176-3	79-09-4	0 - 0.1	H314
acetic acid <i>Index No(CLP):</i> 607-002-00-6	200-580-7	64-19-7	0 - 0.1	H226; H314
isobutyl acrylate <i>Index No(CLP):</i> 607-115-00-0	203-417-8	106-63-8	0 - 0.05	H226; H315; H332; H312; H317
water <i>Index No(CLP):</i> None	231-791-2	7732-18-5	0.01 - 0.1	none

Name EC No	EC No	CAS No	Content (w/w) %	Classification Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP)
2-carboxyethyl acrylate <i>Index No(CLP): None</i>	246-359-9	24615-84-7	0 - 0.5	none
Additives (this stabilizer inhibit the polymerization of acrylic acid):				
Mequinol <i>Index No(CLP): 604-044-00-7</i>	205-769-8	150-76-5	180-220 ppm	H302; H317; H319

Specific Conc. Limits (CLP): $\geq 1.0\%$ (STOT SE3 / H335)

M-factor: none.

SECTION 4. FIRST-AID MEASURES

4.1. General Advice

First aid personnel should pay attention to their own safety. If the patient is likely to become unconscious, place and transport in stable sideways position (recovery position). Immediately remove contaminated clothing.

If inhaled

Whilst protecting yourself remove the casualty from the hazardous area. Lay the casualty down in a quiet place and protect him against hypothermia. Provide fresh air, seek medical advice if necessary. Monitor breathing. In case of breathing difficulties have the casualty inhale oxygen. If the casualty is unconscious but breathing lay him in a stable manner on his side. Arrange medical treatment.

Skin contact

Relocate the casualty away from the source of danger. Take off all contaminated clothing immediately while protecting yourself. Immediately wash off and cleanse affected skin areas with plenty of water. Following massive, extensive contact, immediately place the casualty under the emergency shower, wash off with plenty of water and only then remove clothes. Seek medical advice independent of skin damage.

Contact with eyes

Rinse affected eye with widely spread lid for 15 minutes. Transport the casualty immediately to an eye doctor or into hospital. Continue eye bath during transportation.

Ingestion

Rinse mouth with water and spit fluids out. Drink afterwards plenty of water in sips. Do not induce vomiting. Arrange medical treatment.

4.2. Most important symptoms and effects, both acute and delayed

Symptoms: acute -eye damage, skin irritation, allergic skin reaction, delayed – allergic reactions

Hazards: Symptoms can appear later.

4.3. Note to physician

Indication of any immediate medical attention and special treatment needed.

SECTION 5. FIRE-FIGHTING MEASURES

5.1. Extinguishing media

Suitable extinguishing media: Water spray, foam, CO₂, dry powder. Fight large fire with alcohol resistant foam or water spray.

Unsuitable extinguishing media: Do not use high volume water jet.

5.2. Special hazards

Cool surrounding containers with water spray. If possible, take container out of dangerous zone. Heating causes a rise in pressure, risk of bursting and explosion. Spontaneous polymerization. Shut off sources of ignition. Beware of backfire. Stay on upwind side.

5.3. Special protective equipment

Wear self-contained breathing apparatus. Wear suitable, tightly sealed protective clothing. Full protective suit.

5.4. Advice for fire-fighters

Wear a self-contained breathing apparatus.

5.5. Fire safety measures

No data available.

5.6. Further information

Dispose of fire debris and contaminated extinguishing water in accordance with official regulations. The degree of risk is governed by the burning substance and the fire conditions.

SECTION 6. ACCIDENTAL RELEASE MEASURES

6.1. Personal precautions

Wear personal protective equipment (respiratory protection, eye protection, hand protection, body protection. Ensure sufficient ventilation. The hazardous area can only be entered once suitable protective measures are implemented.

6.2. Methods and material for containment and cleaning up

Use mechanical handling equipment. Pump off larger quantities. Dilute smaller quantities with plenty of water, neutralize if necessary with calcium carbamate or absorb spilt liquid with an absorbent (e.g. diatomite, vermiculite, sand). Fill into marked, sealable containers. Dispose according to regulations. Afterwards ventilate area and wash spill site. Inform responsible authorities if necessary.

6.3. Environmental precautions

Shut off all ignition sources. Evacuate area and warn affected surroundings. Do not allow entrance in soil, stretches of water, ground water, drainage systems, and surface water.

6.4 Additional information

No data available.

6.5. Reference to other sections

Information regarding exposure controls/personal protection and disposal considerations can be found in section 8 and 13.

SECTION 7. HANDLING AND STORAGE

7.1. Handling

Precautions for safe handling: Use leak-proof equipment with exhaust for filling, refilling or transfer. Do not leave containers open. Avoid splashing. Fill into labelled container only. Use acid resistant utensils. Avoid skin and eye contact. Do not breathe in vapor or aerosols. Unintended, spontaneous polymerization can occur by overheating (especially local overheating), photo-initiation (UV light), contamination, corrosion (Fe), stabilizer depletion and stabilizer deactivation (via oxygen depletion). Thawing of frozen product with tempered water between 15 °C and 28 °C only.

Vent waste air to atmosphere only through suitable separators. Check the condition of seals and connector screw threads. Do not open warm or swollen product containers. Remove persons to safety and alert fire brigade.

Protect against heat. Protect from direct sunlight. Protect contents from the effects of light.

Ensure adequate inhibitor and dissolved oxygen level.

7.2. Protection against fire and explosion

Product can form explosive mixture with air. Ground all transfer equipment properly to prevent electrostatic discharge. Containers should be grounded against electrostatic charge. It is recommended that all conductive parts of the machinery are grounded. Avoid all sources of ignition: heat, sparks, open flame. Vapours may form explosive mixture with air. Ignitable mixtures can be formed in the emptied container.

Heated containers should be cooled to prevent polymerization. If exposed to fire, keep containers cool by spraying with water. Emergency cooling must be provided for the eventuality of a fire in the vicinity.

Sealed containers should be protected against heat as these results in pressure build-up. Avoid influence of heat.

7.3. Storage

Protect from exposure to sunlight, from overheating/heating up or freezing. Recommended storage temperatures 15°C (min) – 25°C (max.).

Keep under atmospheric oxygen (air), never use inert atmosphere: stabilizer is only effective in presence of oxygen.

Observe max. shelf life of water free product.

Suitable materials are: stainless steel, aluminium, polyethylene.

Unsuitable materials are: iron, carbon-less (mild) steel, copper, brass and their alloys.

Do not store with less than 10 % headspace above liquid.

Recommended inhibitor level is: 180 to 220 ppm.

7.4. Storage stability

The product is stabilized, the shelf life should be noted. Avoid prolonged storage.

Storage temperature: < 25°C.

7.5. Further information on storage conditions

Vessels should be well protected from penetration of other materials/substances. Provide designated lines for product loading and discharge. Pipelines design should avoid product stagnation.

SECTION 8. EXPOSURE CONTROLS/PERSONAL PROTECTION

8.1. Control parameters

8.1.1. Occupational Exposure Limits

For acrylic acid (EC#201-177-9; CAS #79-10-7): International Limit Values¹⁾

Country	LTEL 8 hr TWA ppm	LTEL 8 hr TWA mg/m ³	STEL ppm	STEL mg/m ³	Note
Austria	2	5.9			
Belgium	2	6.0			
Denmark	2	5.9	4	11.8	
Finland	2	6	15 (1)	45 (1)	(1) 15 minutes average value
France	2	6	10	30	
Germany (AGS)	10	30	10 (1)	30 (1)	(1) 15 minutes average value.
Germany (DFG)	10	30	10	30	STV 15 minutes average value
Ireland	2	6			

Latvia		5			
People's Republic of China		6			
Poland		20		50	
Spain	2	6			Skin
Sweden	10	30	15 (1)	45 (1)	(1) Short-term value, 15 minutes average value.
Switzerland	10	30	10	30	
United Kingdom	[10]	[30]	[20]	[60]	The UK Advisory Committee on Toxic Substances has expressed concern that, for the OELs shown in parentheses, health may not be adequately protected because of doubts that the limit was not soundly-based. These OELs were included in the published UK 2002 list and its 2003 supplement, but are omitted from the published 2005 list

1) GESTIS International Limit values: (<http://limitvalue.ifa.dguv.de/>)

8.1.2. DNEL/ PNEC values

DN(M)ELs for workers

Long-term exposure systemic DNELs were not calculated because of the lack of long-term systemic effects. Dose-level selection for long-term studies was limited by severity of local effects on the upper respiratory tract.

Exposure pattern	Route	DNEL / DMEL	Most sensitive endpoint	Justification
Acute - systemic effects	Dermal	-	-	No DNEL considered necessary. Justification: see discussion.
Acute - systemic effects	Inhalation	-	-	
Acute - local effects	Dermal	-	skin irritation/corrosion	
Acute - local effects	Inhalation	-	irritation (respiratory tract)	
Long-term - systemic effects	Dermal	-	-	
Long-term - systemic effects	Inhalation	-	-	
Long-term - local effects	Dermal	-	-	
Long-term - local effects	Inhalation	-	irritation (respiratory tract)	

DN(M)ELs for the general population

Exposure pattern	Route	DNEL / DMEL	Most sensitive endpoint	Justification
Acute - systemic effects	Dermal	-	-	No DNEL proposed; see discussion chapters above and below.
Acute - systemic effects	Inhalation	-	-	No DNEL proposed; see discussion chapters above and below.

Acute - systemic effects	Oral	-	-	No DNEL proposed; see discussion chapters above and below.
Acute - local effects	Dermal	DNEL: 1 mg/cm ²	skin irritation/ corrosion	
Acute - local effects	Inhalation	DNEL: 3.6 mg/m ³	irritation (respiratory tract)	
Long-term - systemic effects	Dermal	-	-	No DNEL proposed; see discussion chapters above and below.
Long-term - systemic effects	Inhalation	-	-	No DNEL proposed; see discussion chapters above and below.
Long-term - systemic effects	Oral	-	-	No DNEL proposed; see discussion chapter above and below.
Long-term - local effects	Dermal	-	-	No DNEL proposed; see discussion chapters above and below.
Long-term - local effects	Inhalation	DNEL: 3.6 mg/m ³	irritation (respiratory tract)	

PNEC water

PNEC	Assessment factor	Remarks/Justification
PNEC aqua (freshwater): 0.003 mg/L	10	Extrapolation method: assessment factor. For growth rate reduction, the lowest EC10 value derived in two tests (BASF AG, 1994; Huels, 1995) was 0.03 mg/L for <i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i> .
PNEC aqua (marine water): 0.0003 mg/L	100	Extrapolation method: assessment factor. For growth rate reduction, the lowest EC10 value derived in two tests (BASF AG, 1994; Huels, 1995) was 0.03 mg/L for <i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i> .
PNEC aqua (intermittent releases): 0.0013 mg/L	100	PNEC aqua (intermittent releases): 0.0013 mg/L Extrapolation method: assessment factor. Taking all available short-term tests with freshwater and saltwater species into consideration, the most sensitive species is <i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i> with an EC50 of 0.13 mg/L.

PNEC sediments

PNEC	Assessment factor	Remarks/Justification
PNEC sediment (freshwater): 0.0236 mg/kg sediment dw	-	Extrapolation method: partition coefficient. Since no experimental data were available for sediment dwelling organisms, the PNEC sed was estimated using the equilibrium partitioning method as recommended by the Technical Guidance Document for Risk Assessment (ECB, 2003) and Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.10 (ECHA, May 2008). PNEC sediment in mg/kg sediment ww = 0.00514

PNEC	Assessment factor	Remarks/Justification
PNEC sediment (marine water): 0.002346 mg/kg sediment dw	10	Extrapolation method: assessment factor. Derived from PNEC sediment (freshwater), applying an assessment factor of 10.

PNEC soil

PNEC	Assessment factor	Remarks/Justification
PNEC soil: 1 mg/kg soil dw	100	Extrapolation method: assessment factor. A short-term test in <i>Eisenia fetida</i> with an LC50 > 1000 mg/kg dw and one long-term toxicity test with a NOEC of 100 mg/kg soil dw based on soil micro-flora (carbon-cycle) are available. An assessment factor of 100 is proposed by the Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.10 (ECHA, May 2008). The resulting PNEC soil is 1 mg/kg soil dw.

PNEC sewage treatment plant

Value	Assessment factor	Remarks/Justification
PNEC STP: 0.9 mg/L	1	Extrapolation method: assessment factor. An assessment factor of 100 was applied to this value leading to a PNEC STP of 9 mg/L. But the most sensitive microorganism to acrylic acid was the protozoan <i>Chilomonas paramecium</i> with a 48-hour TT of 0.9 mg/L.

PNEC oral (secondary poisoning)

PNEC	Assessment factor	Remarks/Justification
PNEC oral: 0.03 g/kg food	30	The NOAEL (systemic) was 40 mg/kg bw corresponding to a NOEC (food) = 8E-04 kg/kg food. The assessment factor for a 12-months study in mammals is 30 resulting in a PNEC oral (mammal) = 2.7E-05 kg/kg food.

8.2. Exposure Controls

Personal protective equipment

Eye protection

In order to satisfy general industrial hygiene rules safety glasses with side-shields (e.g. EN 166) are recommended.

Respiratory protection

Wear respiratory protection if ventilation is inadequate. Gas filter for gases/vapours of organic compounds (boiling point > 65 °C, e. g. EN 14387 Type A).

Hand protection

Suitable materials also with prolonged, direct contact (Recommended: Protective index 6 corresponding > 480 minutes of permeation time according to EN 374):

fluoroelastomer (FKM) - 0.7mm coating thickness

nitrile rubber (NBR) - 0.4mm coating thickness

Body protection

Body protection must be chosen depending on activity and possible exposure, e.g. apron, protecting boots, chemical-protection suit (according to EN 14605 in case of splashes or EN ISO 13982 in case of dust).

General safety and hygiene measures

Avoid contact with skin. Avoid inhalation of vapour.

SECTION 9. PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

9.1. Information on basic physical and chemical properties

Property	Value	Remarks
Physical state at 20 °C and 101.3 kPa	Liquid Colour: colourless	
Melting / freezing point at 101.3 kPa	13 °C	
Boiling point	141 °C at 1013 hPa	
Relative density	1.05 (d20/4)	
Vapour pressure	5.29 hPa at 25° C	
Surface tension	Not surface active	
Water solubility	1000 g/l at 25 °C	
Partition coefficient n-octanol/water (log value)	0.46 at 25 °C	
Flash point	48.5 °C at 1013 hPa	
Flammability	Flammable liquid cat. 3 The substance has no pyrophoric properties and does not liberate flammable gases on contact with water.	Substance is a flammable liquid cat.: 3 (EU GHS) because the flash point is > 23 °C and < 60 °C. Flammability derived from flash point (and boiling point). Based on chemical structure pyrophoric properties and flammability in contact with water are not to be expected.
Explosive properties	Non explosive	There are no chemical groups associated with explosive properties present in the molecule.
Autoflammability/self-ignition temperature at 1013 hPa	438 °C	
Oxidising properties	No oxidising properties	The Substance is incapable of reacting exothermically with combustible materials on the basis of the chemical structure.
Granulometry	Not applicable	In accordance with column 2 of REACH Annex VII, the particle size distribution (Granulometrie) study does not need to be performed as the substance is marketed or used in a non solid or granular form.
Stability in organic solvents and identity of relevant degradation products	Not applicable	The stability of the substance is not considered as critical.
Dissociation constant	4.26 at 25 °C	

Viscosity	1.149 mPa s (dynamic) at 25 °C	
-----------	--------------------------------	--

9.2. Other information

Self-accelerating polymerisation temperature (SAPT)	> 50 °C at the inhibitor level 180 - 220 ppm
---	--

SECTION 10. STABILITY AND REACTIVITY

10.1. Chemical stability

Stable under recommended storage and handling conditions.

Polymerization can occur. Contains the following stabilizer: mequinol (EC no.: 205-769-8) 180-220 ppm.

10.2. Reactivity

Slightly corrosive in presence of steel, of aluminum, of zinc, of copper. Non-corrosive in presence of glass.

10.3. Possibility of hazardous reactions

Reacts violently in contact with acids, amines, driers, polymerisation accelerators and easily oxidized materials. Risk of polymerization.

10.4. Conditions to avoid

Avoid heat. Avoid UV-light and other radiation with high energy. Avoid direct sunlight. Avoid prolonged storage. Avoid inhibitor loss. Avoid excessive temperatures.

Avoid storing the ether near highly oxidized substances, hyperoxides, substances, which can self-ignite or polymerize when in contact with each other or when mixed with ether.

Avoid heat, flames and sparks.

10.5. Incompatible materials

Substances/materials to avoid: strong bases, acids, concentrated mineral acids, acid anhydrides, acid chlorides, oxidizing agents, reducing agents, radical formers, free radical initiators, peroxides, mercaptans, nitro-compounds, perborates, azides, ether, ketones, aldehydes, amines, nitrates, nitrites, metal salts, inert gas.

10.6. Hazardous decomposition products

No hazardous decomposition products if stored and handled as prescribed/indicated.

SECTION 11. TOXICOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Property	Results	Remarks
Acute toxicity: No adverse effect observed.		
Oral	LD50 (oral): 1500 mg/kg or 146-1405 mg/kg bw (rat)	depending on the concentration tested experimental result DOW Chemical Company (1980), BASF AG (1958a)
Inhalation	LC50 (4 h, inhalation): > 5.1 mg/L (rat, vapour saturated atmosphere)	key study, experimental result BASF AG (1980)
Dermal	LD50 (dermal): > 2000 mg/kg bw (rabbit, occlusive)	key study, experimental result Product Safety Labs (2011)

<p>Irritation/Corrosivity: Acrylic acid is highly corrosive to skin and eyes. Acrylic acid may be irritating/corrosive to the respiratory tract. GHS classification (GHS UN rev.3, 2009): - Skin corrosion/irritation: Category 1A - Specific target organ toxicity, Single exposure: Category 3 (May cause respiratory irritation).</p>		
Eye irritant	corrosive rabbit (Vienna White), BASF-Test	key study, experimental result BASF AG (1958b)
Skin irritant	highly corrosive rabbit (New Zealand White)	BASF AG (1998)
Respiratory tract	Based on the available data corrosion to the respiratory tract cannot be excluded.	(BASF AG 1980, BAMB 1988) Silver et al. (1981)
<p>Sensitization: GHS classification (GHS UN rev.3, 2009): no classification required</p>		
Skin sensitization	Acrylic acid does not have a skin sensitizing potential in animal studies. not sensitising guinea pig (Hartley) male No. with positive reactions: 1st reading: 0 out of 10 (test group); 24 h after chall.; dose: 0.1 ml 1st reading: 7 out of 10 (positive control); 24 h after chall.; dose: no data	Rao K. S., Betso J. E., Olson K. J. (1981) Positive test results obtained with commercial grade samples of the compound were caused by the presence of the impurity DAPA.
Respiratory system	not sensitizing	There is no information available on the potential of acrylic acid to produce respiratory sensitisation in animals.
<p>Repeated dose toxicity: GHS classification: Specific Target Organ Toxicity/ Repeated Exposure: no classification required.</p>		
Oral	NOAEL: 83 mg/kg bw/day (nominal) (male/female) LOAEL: 250 mg/kg bw/day (nominal) (male/female) (Diet and water consumption, body and organ weight changes and abnormal clinical chemical and urine analysis parameters)	key study, experimental result Bushy Run Research Center (1980) DePass LR et al. (1983) DePass LR et al. (1981) BASF Corp. (1981a)

Inhalation	NOAEC: 0.074 mg/L air (analytical) (male/female) (Local effects) NOAEC: 0.221 mg/L air (analytical) (male/female) (Systemic toxicity) LOAEC: 0.221 mg/L air (analytical) (male/female) (Local effects: focal degeneration of the olfactory epithelium)	key study, experimental result Dow Chemical Company (1979) Miller RR et al. (1981a) Miller RR et al. (1981b) BASF Corp. (1979b) BASF Corp. (1981b) Dow Chemicals Corp. (1979a) Dow Chemicals Corp. (1979b) Hoechst Celanese Corp. (1981a)
Dermal	no NOAEL identified	key study, experimental result TEGERIS LABORATORIES, INC. (1987) McLaughlin JE et al. (1995) Tegeris AS et al. (1988)
Mutagenicity: Negative. GHS classification (GHS UN rev.3, 2009): no classification required.		
In vitro data	negative (Chinese hamster Ovary (CHO))	key study, experimental result Microbiological Associates Inc. (1988) McCarthy KL et al. (1992)
In vivo data	negative rat, genotoxicity	key study, experimental result Microbiological Associates, Inc. (1986a) McCarthy KL et al. (1992) McCarthy KL et al. (1988) Microbiological Associates, Inc. (1986b)
Carcinogenicity: No carcinogenic effects. GHS classification (GHS UN rev.3, 2009): no classification required.		
Oral	NOAEL (carcinogenicity): \geq 78 mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) (male/female)	key study, experimental result BASF AG (1989b) BASF AG (1993a) Hellwig J et al. (1993)
Dermal	Neoplastic effects: no effects NOAEL (carcinogenicity): $>$ 10 mg/kg bw/day (nominal) (male)	key study, experimental result Bushy Run Research Center (1982) DePass LR et al. (1984) Rohm & Haas Co. (1986) BASF Corp. (1979c) BASF Corp. (1981c) Hoechst Celanese Corp. (1981b)
Toxicity for reproduction: GHS classification (GHS UN rev.3, 2009): no classification required.		
Effects on fertility	NOAEL (P and F1): 460 mg/kg bw/day (male/female) (fertility)	key study, experimental result BASF AG (1994a) Hellwig J. et al. (1997)

Developmental toxicity	NOAEC (teratogenicity, fetotoxicity): ≥ 1.08 mg/L air (nominal), rat NOAEC (teratogenicity, fetotoxicity): ≥ 0.673 mg/L air (nominal), rabbits	<u>Inhalation</u> : key study, experimental result BASF AG (1983) Klimisch H-J and Hellwig J (1991) Proctor NH et al. (1988) Bushy Run Research Center, Union Carbide (1993) Neeper-Bradley TL et al. (1997)
Toxicokinetics (absorption, metabolism, distribution and elimination)	<p>Following oral administration of [¹⁴C]-Acrylic acid in rats and mice, a high percentage of the radiolabel (60 – 80 %) was rapidly absorbed and eliminated as ¹⁴CO₂ within 24 hours by both species. Excretion in urine and faeces accounted for 1-4 %, respectively. In rats, about 19-25 % of the acrylic acid-derived radioactivity remained in the tissues examined after 72 hr, mostly in adipose tissue and muscle. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis of rat urine and rat and mouse tissues indicated that absorbed AA was rapidly metabolized by the β-oxidation pathway of propionate catabolism. No unchanged AA was detected; however, several metabolites that were more polar than AA were measured, including 3-hydroxypropionate.</p> <p>The presented results are consistent with the incorporation of AA into a secondary pathway for propionic acid metabolism in which 3 - hydroxypropionate is an intermediate. In this pathway, AA is first converted to acrylyl-CoA which is subsequently oxidized to 3 - hydroxypropionate. 3 -Hydroxypropionate is, in turn, metabolized to acetate and CO₂ via malonic semialdehyde. The resultant acetate is then incorporated into intermediary metabolism. This pathway has been reported to be a major pathway for the metabolism of propionic acid in various insect and plant species, but is a secondary pathway in mammals. On the other hand, reaction with reduced glutathion does not play a major role in the detoxification and metabolism of acrylic acid.</p>	
Other effects	none	

SECTION 12. ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION

Property	Value	Remarks
AQUATIC TOXICITY		
Fish		
Short-term toxicity <i>Salmo gairdneri</i>	LC50 (96 h, flow through) = 27 mg/L	key study, experimental result Analytical Bio-Chemistry Laboratories, Inc. (1990) European Chemicals Bureau (2002)
<i>Brachydanio rerio</i> <i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i>	LC50 (96 h, semi-static) = 222 mg/L LC50 (96 h, flow through) = 236 mg/L	Huels AG (1995b) Wildlife International Ltd. (1995) Staples et al. (2000)

Long-term toxicity to fish: Not applicable.
 In accordance with section 3 of REACH Annex XI, the study does not need to be conducted. The use of n-butyl acrylate as a monomer, almost exclusively in closed systems for the production of polymers, indicates that environmental exposure would be limited. The volatility of n-butyl acrylate provides for volatilization of any releases to the air. n-Butyl acrylate is slowly photodegradable and readily biodegradable, and accidental releases to the environment would not result in accumulation or persistence. The relatively high water solubility and corresponding low log Kow indicate that no bioaccumulation potential exists.

Aquatic invertebrates

Short-term toxicity (<i>Daphnia Magna</i>)	EC50 (48 h): 95 mg/L test mat. based on mobility	key study, experimental result Analytical Biochemistry Laboratories, Inc (1990) Basic Acrylic Monomer Manufacturers (1990b) Staples CA et al. (2000b) European Chemicals Bureau (2002)
Long-term toxicity (<i>Daphnia Magna</i>)	NOEC (reproduction) = 19 mg/L	key study, experimental result ABC Laboratories California (1996) Staples CA et al. (2000a)
Algae and aquatic plants (<i>Scenedesmus subspicatus</i>) (algae)	EC50 (72 h, growth rate) = 0.13 mg/L EC50 (72 h, growth rate) = 0.205 mg/L EC50 (96 h, cell number) = 0.17 mg/L	experimental result BASF AG (1994c) Sverdrup LE et al. (2001a) European Chemicals Bureau (2002) ABC Laboratories California (1990) European Chemicals Bureau (2002)
Toxicity to aquatic micro-organisms	EC20 (30 min) = 900 mg/L (domestic activated sludge)	key study, experimental result BASF AG (1993b) European Chemicals Bureau (2002)

Sediment organisms: Not available. A PNEC can be estimated based on the equilibrium partitioning method.

Toxicity to soil macro-organisms (<i>Eisenia foetida foetida</i>)	LC50 (14 d): > 1000 mg/kg soil dw test mat. (nominal) based on: mortality	key study, experimental result Huels AG (1995f)
Toxicity to soil micro-organisms (<i>Sandy loam soil</i>)	EC100 (28 d): ca. 1000 mg/kg soil dw test mat. (nominal) based on: respiration rate EC0 (28 d): ca. 100 mg/kg soil dw test mat. (nominal) based on: respiration rate	key study, experimental result Research Centre Ltd. (1992)

Toxicity to terrestrial plants: Not applicable.
 In accordance with section 3 of REACH Annex XI, the study does not need to be conducted. Since n-butyl acrylate is readily biodegradable and no direct releases to soil from point sources are known, no significant exposure of the terrestrial compartment is expected.

Toxicity to birds: Not applicable. In accordance with section 1 and 3 of REACH Annex XI, the study does not need to be conducted. Since no exposure of birds is expected, testing of birds is not required.		
DEGRADATION		
Abiotic degradation: substance is readily degradable.		
Hydrolysis	Hydrolysis as a Function of pH at 25°C: t1/2 (pH 7): > 1 yr at 25 °C (¹⁴ C acrylic acid was stable to hydrolysis)	key study Basic Acrylic Monomer Manufacturers (1990a)
Phototransformation/ photolysis in air	Half-life (DT50): 39.6 h (24-h day)	key study, calculated BASF SE (2008a) SRC AOP v1.92
Phototransformation in water	No data on phototransformation in water are available.	
Phototransformation in soil	No data on phototransformation in water are available.	
Biodegradation: substance is readily biodegradable.		
Biodegradation in water	readily biodegradable % Degradation of test substance: > 75 after 56 d (CH4 evolution)	experimental result Shelton DR & Tiedje JM (1984)
Biodegradation in soil	readily biodegradable Half-life (DT50): 0 — 1 d	key study Huntingdon research Centre Ltd. (1992)
ENVIRONMENTAL DISTRIBUTION		
Adsorption/desorption Study type: adsorption (soil)	Adsorption coefficient: Koc: 6-134 log Koc: 0.78-2.14	key study, experimental results Ricerca, Inc. (1991) Staples CA et al. (2000a)
Volatilization	Henry's Law constant H: 0.029 Pa m ³ /mol at 25 °C From the water surface the substance will not evaporate into the atmosphere	key study, estimated by calculation SRC HENRYWIN v3.10 BASF AG (2008b)
Environmental distribution	Acrylic Acid will preferentially be distributed into the compartment water. Percent distribution in media: Air (%): 1.3 Water (%): 98.7 Soil (%): 0.02 Sediment (%): 0.02 Susp. sediment (%): 0 Biota (%): 0 Aerosol (%): 0	key study, estimated by calculation Calculation according to Mackay, Level I BASF AG (2009a)
BIOACCUMULATION: Based on the calculated logPow of 0.46 (25 °C) and the calculated BCF of 3.16 accumulation in organisms is not to be expected.		
Aquatic bioaccumulation	BCF: 3.162 log Pow of 0.46 (25 °C)	key study, estimated by calculation BASF SE (2009b)

Secondary poisoning	Based on a log Kow value of 0.46, no bioaccumulation of acrylic acid in organisms is expected. Hence, secondary poisoning will not be an important factor in the hazard assessment.
Emission Characterisation	Because the substance does not fulfil the PBT and vPvB criteria, no emission characterisation is performed.
PBT/vPvB Properties	Regarding all available data on biotic and abiotic degradation, bioaccumulation and toxicity it can be stated that the substance does not fulfill the PBT criteria (not PBT) and not the vPvB criteria (not vPvB).

SECTION 13. DISPOSAL CONSIDERATIONS

13.1. General information:

Do not allow spilled product and waste water to enter the sewage and open surface water. Avoid groundwater pollution.

13.2. Waste treatment methods

Incinerate in suitable incineration plant, observing local authority regulations.

13.3. Contaminated packaging

Uncontaminated packaging can be re-used.

Packs that cannot be cleaned should be disposed of in the same manner as the contents.

EPA Hazardous. Waste Number: U008 (Acrylic acid (I)).

Disposal should be in accordance with applicable regional, national, and local laws and regulations.

Local regulations may be more stringent than regional or national requirements and must be complied with.

SECTION 14. TRANSPORT INFORMATION

14.1. Land transport (ADR/RID)

ID number: UN 2218
 Chemical name: Acrylic acid, stabilized
 Hazard class: 8
 Packing group: II
 Hazard label: 8; 3 EHSM

14.2. Marine transport (IMDG)

ID number: UN 2218
 Chemical name: Acrylic acid, stabilized
 Hazard class: 8
 Packing group: II
 Labels: 8; 3 EHSM
 Marine pollutant: YES

Transport in bulk according to Annex II of MARPOL73/78 and the IBC Code

Not applicable.

14.3. Air transport (IATA/ICAO)

ID number: UN 2218
 Chemical name: Acrylic acid, stabilized
 Hazard class: 8
 Labels: 8; 3
 Packing group: II

SECTION 15. REGULATORY INFORMATION

REGULATORY

Chemical Safety Report has been developed for acrylic acid.

APPENDIX II to the e-SDS: Exposure scenarios.

KEY LITERATURE REFERENCES AND SOURCES

Documents, provided by consortium Acrylates: chemical safety report (CAS 79-10-7)

EU DIRECTIVES

REGULATION (EC) No 1907/2006 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH), establishing a European Chemicals Agency, amending Directive 1999/45/EC and repealing Council Regulation (EEC) No 793/93 and Commission Regulation (EC) No 1488/94 as well as Council Directive 76/769/EEC and Commission Directives 91/155/EEC, 93/67/EEC, 93/105/EC and 2000/21/EC.

Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 REGULATION (EC) No 1272/2008 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006.

Regulations. Commission regulation (EU) no 453/2010 of 20 May 2010 amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Registration, Evaluation, Authorization and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH).

DIRECTIVE 1999/45/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 31 May 1999 concerning the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions of the Member States relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous preparations Directive 67/548/EEC on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labeling of dangerous substances.

COMMISSION DECISION of 16 January 2001 amending Decision 2000/532/EC as regards the list of wastes (notified under document number (2001/118/EC).

UK REGULATORY REFERENCES

Chemicals (Hazard Information & Packaging) Regulations. The Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 1988. Health and Safety at Work Act 1974.

ENVIRONMENTAL LISTING

Control of Pollution Act 1974.

STATUTORY INSTRUMENTS

Notification of New Substances Regulations (NONS) 1993. The Export and Import of Dangerous Chemicals Regulations 2005 number 928.

APPROVED CODE OF PRACTICE

Classification and Labelling of Substances and Preparations Dangerous for Supply (EU 2001/59/EC). Safety Data Sheets for Substances and Preparations (REACH).

GUIDANCE NOTES

Workplace Exposure Limits EH40. Introduction to Local Exhaust Ventilation HS(G)37. CHIP for everyone HSG(108).

NATIONAL REGULATIONS

The Chemicals (Hazard Information and Packaging for Supply) Regulations 2002. No. 1689.

Workplace Exposure Limits 2005 (EH40).

The Carriage of Dangerous Goods and use of transportable pressure equipment regulations 2004.

Control of Substances hazardous to health regulations 2002 (as amended).

NATIONAL REGULATIONS (GERMANY)

Major Accident Hazard Legislation 82/501/EWG.

SECTION 16. OTHER INFORMATION

16.1. Indication of changes

VERSION	Date of change	Section	Description of changes
1.0	10/05/2016	All	Initially issued.
1.1	22/02/2019	9	Physical and chemical properties were updated.

16.2. Abbreviations and acronyms

ADR	European Agreement concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road
AGS	The German Committee on Hazardous Substances (Ausschuss für Gefahrstoffe – AGS)
DFG	Germany Research Foundation
DNEL	Derived No Effect Level
IMDG	International Maritime Dangerous Goods
ICAO-TI	Technical Instructions for the Safe Transport of Dangerous Goods by Air
K _{oc}	Adsorption coefficient
K _{ow}	Octanol-water partition coefficient
LC50	Lethal Concentration to 50 % of a test population
LD50	Lethal Dose to 50% of a test population (Median Lethal Dose)
LOAEC	Lowest Observable Adverse Effect Concentration
LTEL	Long Term Exposure Limit
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (<i>USA CDC</i>)
NOEC	No Observed Effect Concentration
NOAEL	No Observed Adverse Effect Level
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
OSHA	Occupational Safety & Health Administration (<i>USA</i>)
PNEC	Predicted No Effect Concentration
PBT	Persistent, bioaccumulative, toxic chemical
vPvB	Very Persistent, Very Bioaccumulative
RID	Regulations concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Rail
STEL	Short Term Exposure Limit
STOT	Specific Target Organ Toxicity
(STOT) RE	Repeated Exposure
(STOT) SE	Single Exposure
TWA	Time Weighted Average
UN	United Nations

16.3. List of ES (exposure scenario) given in Annex I to the extended SDS

(#1: ES 1) Manufacture and distribution of the substance

(#5: ES5) Use of substance as a laboratory agent

(#3: ES3) Polymerization at production sites of substance (on-site) and at downstream user sites (off-site): superabsorber polymers and other polyacrylates

(#4: ES4) Other uses of substance as intermediate

(#2: ES2) Manufacture of intermediates at production sites of substance (on-site) and at downstream user sites (off-site): esterification

DISCLAIMER

This information is based on our current level of knowledge. This information may be subject to revision as new knowledge and experience becomes available, and SIBUR makes no warranties and assumes no liability in connection with any use of this information. Since SIBUR cannot be aware of all aspects of your business and the impact the REACH Regulation has for your company, SIBUR strongly encourages you to get familiar with the REACH Regulation in order to comply with its requirements and timelines.

Annex I
Relevant identified uses of the substance

Manufacture

Identifiers	Use descriptors	Other information
#1: ES 1: Manufacture and distribution of the substance	Environmental release category (ERC): ERC 1: Manufacture of substances Process category (PROC): PROC 1: Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure PROC 2: Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure PROC 3: Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation) PROC 8a: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities PROC 8b: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities PROC 9: Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)	Remarks: Acrylic acid (AA) is used as intermediate to produce esters (esterification reaction, AA used as substance) and as monomer to produce polyacrylates (AA used as monomer). AA is also used as laboratory chemical e.g. for analyses at production sites.
#5: ES5: Use of substance as a laboratory agent	Environmental release category (ERC): ERC 1: Manufacture of substances Process category (PROC): PROC 15: Use as laboratory reagent	Remarks: Acrylic acid is used as intermediate to produce esters (esterification reaction, AA used as substance) and as monomer to produce polyacrylates (AA used as monomer). AA is also used as laboratory chemical e.g. for analyses at production sites.

Uses at industrial sites

Identifiers	Use descriptors	Other information
#3: ES3: Polymerization at production sites of substance (on-site) and at downstream user sites (off-site): superabsorber polymers and other polyacrylates	Environmental release category (ERC): ERC 6c: Industrial use of monomers for manufacture of thermoplastics ERC 6d: Industrial use of process regulators for polymerisation processes in production of resins, rubbers, polymers Process category (PROC): PROC 1: Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure PROC 2: Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure	Substance supplied to that use: As such Subsequent service life relevant for that use: no Remarks: Acrylic acid is used as intermediate to produce esters (esterification reaction, AA used as substance) and as monomer to produce polyacrylates

Identifiers	Use descriptors	Other information
	<p>PROC 3: Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation) PROC 4: Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises PROC 5: Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact) PROC 8a: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities PROC 8b: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities PROC 9: Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)</p> <p>Product Category used: PC 19: Intermediate PC 32: Polymer preparations and compounds</p> <p>Sector of end use: SU 8: Manufacture of bulk, large scale chemicals (including petroleum products) SU 9: Manufacture of fine chemicals SU 12: Manufacture of plastics products, including compounding and conversion</p> <p>Technical function of the substance during formulation: Intermediates Laboratory chemicals</p>	<p>(AA used as monomer). AA is also used as laboratory chemical e.g. for analyses at production sites.</p>
<p>#4: ES4: Other uses of substance as intermediate</p>	<p>Environmental release category (ERC): ERC 6a: Industrial use resulting in manufacture of another substance (use of intermediates)</p> <p>Process category (PROC): PROC 1: Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure PROC 2: Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure PROC 3: Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation) PROC 4: Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises PROC 5: Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact) PROC 8a: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities</p>	<p>Substance supplied to that use: As such Subsequent service life relevant for that use: no Remarks: Acrylic acid is used as intermediate to produce esters (esterification reaction, AA used as substance) and as monomer to produce polyacrylates (AA used as monomer). AA is also used as laboratory chemical e.g. for analyses at production sites.</p>

Identifiers	Use descriptors	Other information
	<p>PROC 8b: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities</p> <p>PROC 9: Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)</p> <p>Product Category used: PC 19: Intermediate PC 32: Polymer preparations and compounds</p> <p>Sector of end use: SU 8: Manufacture of bulk, large scale chemicals (including petroleum products) SU 9: Manufacture of fine chemicals</p> <p>Technical function of the substance during formulation: Intermediates Laboratory chemicals</p>	
<p>#2: ES2: Manufacture of intermediates at production sites of substance (on-site) and at downstream user sites (off-site): esterification</p>	<p>Environmental release category (ERC): ERC 6a: Industrial use resulting in manufacture of another substance (use of intermediates)</p> <p>Process category (PROC): PROC 1: Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure PROC 2: Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure PROC 3: Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation) PROC 4: Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises PROC 5: Mixing or blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact) PROC 8a: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities PROC 8b: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities PROC 9: Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing)</p> <p>Product Category used: PC 19: Intermediate</p> <p>Sector of end use: SU 8: Manufacture of bulk, large scale chemicals (including petroleum products) SU 9: Manufacture of fine chemicals</p>	<p>Substance supplied to that use: As such Subsequent service life relevant for that use: no Remarks: Acrylic acid is used as intermediate to produce esters (esterification reaction, AA used as substance) and as monomer to produce polyacrylates (AA used as monomer). AA is also used as laboratory chemical e.g. for analyses at production sites.</p>

Identifiers	Use descriptors	Other information
	Technical function of the substance during formulation: Intermediates Laboratory chemicals	

Annex II
Exposure scenario

1. EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

Table 1: Short description of all exposure scenarios with their use descriptors and life cycle stage

Number (ES)	Short description of exposure scenario	Product Category (PC)	Life cycle stage covered by ES						Sector of use (SU)	Process category (PROC)	Article Category (AC)	Environmental release category (ERC)
			Manufacture	Formulation	End use			Service Life				
					Industrial	Professional	Consumer					
1	Manufacture and distribution of the substance	19	X	-	X	-	-	-	8, 9	1, 2, 3, 8a, 8b, 9	-	1
2	Manufacture of intermediates at production sites of substance (on-site) and at downstream user sites (off-site): Esterification	19	-	-	X	-	-	-	8, 9	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9	-	6a
3	Polymerization at production sites of substance (on-site) and at downstream user sites (off-site): Superabsorber Polymers and other Polyacrylates	19, 32	-	-	X	-	-	-	8, 9, 12	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9	-	6c, 6d
4	Other uses of substance as intermediate	19, 32	-	-	X	-	-	-	8, 9	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9	-	6a
5	Use of substance as a laboratory agent	19, 21	-	-	X	-	-	-	8, 9, 24	15	-	1

Regional PECs:

Table 2: PECs Regional

Compartment	PEC	Unit
Surface water	0.000451	mg L-1
Seawater	0.0000542	mg L-1
Air	0.0000669	mg m-3
Agricultural soil	0.000313	mg kgwwt-1
Pore water of agricultural soil	0.000359	mg L-1
Natural soil	0.0006	mg kgwwt-1
Industrial soil	0.0314	mg kgwwt-1
Sediment	0.000702	mg kgwwt-1
Seawater sediment	0.0000839	mg kgwwt-1

Total daily intake (regional) for humans was estimated to be 0.0000507 mg/kg body weight/day.

1.1. Manufacture and distribution of the substance

1.1.1. Exposure Scenario 1

Table 3: Description of the ES 1

1.1.1.1. Title	
Reference number	1
Free short title	Manufacture and distribution of the substance
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU 8 and 9; PROC 1, 2, 3, 8a, 8b, and 9; ERC 1
Processes, tasks, activities covered	<p>PROC1: Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure; Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC2: Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure (e.g. sampling); Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC3: Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation); Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC8a: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non -dedicated facilities; Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC8b: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities; Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC9: Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing); Industrial setting.</p>
Environment characteristic covered	ERC1: Manufacture of substances.
1.1.1.2. Operational conditions and risk management measures	
Manufacture of the substance is limited to 6 production sites in Europe.	
1.1.1.2.1. Control of workers exposure for PROC 1	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 1
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Use of the substance in high integrity contained system where little potential exists for exposures, e.g. closed sampling systems.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications

Product characteristic			
Physical state	liquid		
Concentration of substance	100%		
Amounts used			
This information is not relevant for assessment of worker's exposure.			
Operational conditions affecting workers exposure			
Location	Indoors ¹⁾		
Domain	Industrial		
Frequency and duration of use/exposure			
Duration of exposure	> 4 hours/day		
Frequency of exposure	≤ 240 days/year		
Human factors not influenced by risk management			
Exposed skin surface	Palm of one hand (240 cm ²)		
Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release			
Not relevant – closed system			
Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker			
Not relevant – closed system			
Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure			
Not relevant			
Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation			
Not relevant			
1.1.1.2.2. Control of workers exposure for PROC 2			
Title information related to contributing scenario			
Workers related free short title	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure (e.g. sampling).		
Use descriptor covered	PROC 2		
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Continuous process but where the design philosophy is not specifically aimed at minimizing emissions. It is not high integrity and occasional exposure will arise e.g. through maintenance, sampling and equipment braking.		
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications		
Scenario	1	2	3
Product characteristic			
Physical state	liquid	liquid	liquid
Concentration of substance	100%	100%	100%
Amounts used			
This information is not relevant for assessment of worker's exposure.			
Operational conditions affecting workers exposure			
Location	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾
Domain	Industrial	Industrial	Industrial
Frequency and duration of use/exposure			
Duration of exposure	> 4 hours/day	> 4 hours/day	1-4 hours/day
Frequency of exposure	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year

Human factors not influenced by risk management			
Exposed skin surface	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)
Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release			
Not relevant – closed system			
Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker			
Local exhaust ventilation ²⁾	yes (90% Effectiveness)	no	no
Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure			
Not relevant – closed system			
Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation			
Suitable respiratory protection	no	90%	no
Gloves ³⁾	yes	yes	yes
1.1.1.2.3. Control of workers exposure for PROC 3			
Title information related to contributing scenario			
Workers related free short title	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation); Industrial setting.		
Use descriptor covered	PROC 3		
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Batch manufacture of a chemical or formulation where the predominant handling is in a contained manner, but where some opportunity for contact with chemicals occurs (e.g. through sampling).		
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications		
Product characteristic			
Scenario	1	2	3
Physical state	liquid	liquid	liquid
Concentration of substance	100%	100%	100%
Amounts used			
This information is not needed for assessment of worker's exposure.			
Operational conditions affecting workers exposure			
Location	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾
Domain	Industrial	Industrial	Industrial
Frequency and duration of use/exposure			
Duration of exposure	> 4 hours/day	> 4 hours/day	15 mins -1 hour
Frequency of exposure	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year
Human factors not influenced by risk management			
Exposed skin surface	Palm of one hand (240 cm ²)		
Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release			
Not relevant			
Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker			
Local exhaust ventilation ²⁾	Yes (Effectiveness: 90 %)	no	no
Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure			
Not relevant			

Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation			
Suitable respiratory protection	no	90%	no
Gloves ³⁾	yes	yes	yes
1.1.1.2.4. Control of workers exposure for PROC 8a			
Title information related to contributing scenario			
Workers related free short title	PROC 8a: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non-dedicated facilities; Industrial or non-industrial setting.		
Use descriptor covered	PROC 8a		
Processes, tasks, activities covered	PROC 8a: Sampling, loading, filling, transfer, dumping, bagging in non dedicated facilities. Exposure related to dust, vapour, aerosols or spillage, and cleaning of equipment to be expected.		
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications		
Scenario	1	2	3
Product characteristic			
Physical state	liquid	liquid	liquid
Concentration of substance	100%	100%	100%
Amounts used			
Not relevant			
Operational conditions affecting workers exposure			
Location	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾
Domain	Industrial	Industrial	Industrial
Frequency and duration of use/exposure			
Duration of exposure	> 4 hours/day	> 4 hours/day	< 15 mins
Frequency of exposure	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year
Human factors not influenced by risk management			
Exposed skin surface	both hands (960 cm ²)	both hands (960 cm ²)	both hands (960 cm ²)
Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release			
Not relevant.			
Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker			
Local exhaust ventilation ²⁾	Yes Effectiveness: 90%	no	no
Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure			
Not relevant.			
Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation			
Suitable respiratory protection	no	90%	no
Gloves ³⁾	yes	yes	yes
1.1.1.2.5. Control of workers exposure for PROC 8b			
Title information related to contributing scenario			
Workers related free short title	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities; Industrial or non-industrial setting.		

Use descriptor covered	PROC 8b		
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Sampling, loading, filling, transfer, dumping, bagging in dedicated facilities. Exposure related to dust, vapour, aerosols or spillage, and cleaning of equipment to be expected.		
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications		
Scenario	1	2	3
Product characteristic			
Physical state	liquid	liquid	liquid
Concentration of substance	100%	100%	100%
Amounts used	Not relevant		
Operational conditions affecting workers exposure			
Location	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾
Domain	Industrial	Industrial	Industrial
Frequency and duration of use/exposure			
Duration of exposure	> 4 hours/day	> 4 hours/day	< 15 mins
Frequency of exposure	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year
Human factors not influenced by risk management			
Exposed skin surface	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)
Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release			
Not relevant.			
Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker			
Local exhaust ventilation²⁾	Yes Effectiveness: 90 %	no	no
Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure			
Not relevant.			
Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation			
Suitable respiratory protection	no	90%	no
Gloves³⁾	yes	yes	yes
1.1.1.2.6. Control of workers exposure for PROC 9			
Title information related to contributing scenario			
Workers related free short title	PROC 9: Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing); Industrial setting.		
Use descriptor covered	PROC 9		
Processes, tasks, activities covered	PROC 9: Filling lines specifically designed for both, capturing vapour and aerosol emissions and minimise spillage.		
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications		
Scenario	1	2	3
Product characteristic			
Physical state	liquid	liquid	liquid
Concentration of substance	100%	100%	100%

Amounts used			
Not relevant			
Operational conditions affecting workers exposure			
Location	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾
Domain	Industrial	Industrial	Industrial
Frequency and duration of use/exposure			
Duration of exposure	> 4 hours/day	> 4 hours/day	< 15 mins
Frequency of exposure	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year
Human factors not influenced by risk management			
Exposed skin surface	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)
Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release			
Not relevant.			
Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker			
Local exhaust ventilation ²⁾	Yes Effectiveness:90%	no	no
Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure			
Not relevant.			
Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation			
Suitable respiratory protection	no	90%	no
Gloves ³⁾	yes	yes	yes
1.1.1.2.7. Control of environmental exposure for ERC 1			
Free short title	Production of chemical.		
Use descriptor covered	ERC 1		
Description	Production of organic and inorganic substances in chemical, petrochemical, primary metals and minerals industry including intermediates, monomers using continuous processes or batch processes applying dedicated or multi-purpose equipment, either technically controlled or operated by manual interventions.		
Assessment Method	EUSES v2.1		
Product characteristics			
Physical state	liquid		
Concentration of substance	100%		
Amounts used			
Maximum daily use at a site	≤ 960 tons/day (produced, largest producer, site1)		
Maximum annual use at a site	≤ 288,000 tons/year (produced, largest producer, site1)		
Fraction of the main local source	1		
Frequency and duration of use	300 days (no. of emission days/year)		
Pattern of release to the environment	Continuous		
Environment factors not influenced by risk management			
Receiving surface water flow rate	≥ 18000 m ³ /d (default)		
Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure			
Industry category	3: Chemical industry: chemicals used in synthesis		
Use category	33: Intermediates		

Main category production	Ia: Non-isolated intermediates.	
Main category industrial use	Ib: Continuous production process	
Extra details on use category	Wet process	
Emission tables	Special "3/33-combination": A1.2, B1.6;	
Indoor use.		
	Production	Industrial Use
Release fraction to air from process	1E-05 (default)	1E-05 (default)
Release fraction to wastewater from process	3E-03 (default)	5E-04 (default)
Release fraction to soil from process	0 (default)	1E-04 (default)
Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release		
Fraction connected to sewer system	100%	
Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil		
Dry sludge application to agricultural soil	no	
Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site		
Fraction of EU tonnage for region (private use)	0%	
Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant		
Municipal Sewage Treatment Plant (STP)	Yes (freshwater and marine assessment)	
Discharge rate of the Municipal STP	≥ 2000 m ³ /d (default)	
Incineration of the sludge of the Municipal STP	default	
Concentration of chemical in untreated waste water (largest AA production site)	1.68 x 10 ³ mg/L (EUSES output)	
Concentration of chemical (total) in the STP effluent	10 µg/L (based on analytical results)	
Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal		
Not relevant.		
Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste		
Not relevant.		

¹⁾ Indoors: "Indoors without LEV" covers as a worst-case scenario also "Outdoor" uses.

²⁾ The LEV exposure modifying factors for dermal exposure implemented in the ECETOC TRA v2.0 are not considered.

³⁾ Gloves were implemented as an additional RMM. The following effectiveness values are assumed: Use of suitable gloves: 80%; Use of suitable gloves in combination with basic employee training: 90%; Use of suitable gloves in combination with specific activity training: 95%; Use of suitable gloves in combination with intensive management supervision controls: 98%. Suitable gloves are: butyl rubber gloves (0.7mm thickness, >480 min resistance against Acrylic acid).

1.1.2. Exposure Estimation ES 1

Table 4: Estimated exposure for workers / PROC 1

Route of exposure	Concentrations				Justification
	Value				
Technical conditions and measures	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Unit	
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	100.0	not required	not required	µg/cm ²	NA
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	0.0300	not required	not required	mg/m ³	NA
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	100.0	not required	not required	µg/cm ²	NA

NA = Not applicable;
 Scenario 1: Indoor, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4 hours

Table 5: Estimated exposure for workers / PROC 2

Route of exposure	Concentrations				Justification
	Value				
Technical conditions and measures	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Unit	
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	40.0	40.0	40.0	µg/cm ²	NA
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	3.004	3.004	18.025	mg/m ³	NA
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	40.0	40.0	40.0	µg/cm ²	NA

NA = Not applicable
 Scenario 1: Indoor, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.
 Scenario 2: Indoor, no LEV, respirator (TRA 90% efficiency), duration of activity > 4hrs
 Scenario 3: Indoor, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity 1-4hrs

Table 6: Estimated exposure for workers / PROC 3

Route of exposure	Concentrations				Justification
	Value				
Technical conditions and measures	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Unit	
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	20.0	20.0	20.0	µg/cm ²	NA
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	7.510	7.510	15.021	mg/m ³	NA

Short-term exposure, local, dermal	20.0	20.0	20.0	µg/cm ²	NA
------------------------------------	------	------	------	--------------------	----

NA = Not applicable

Scenario 1: Indoor, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.

Scenario 2: Indoor, no LEV, respirator (TRA 90% efficiency), duration of activity > 4hrs

Scenario 3: Indoor, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity 15mins-1hr

Table 7: Estimated exposure for workers / PROC 8a

Route of exposure	Concentrations				Justification
	Value				
Technical conditions and measures	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Unit	
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0	200.0	200.0	µg/cm ²	NA
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	15.021	15.021	15.021	mg/m ³	NA
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0	200.0	200.0	µg/cm ²	NA

NA = Not applicable

Scenario 1: Indoor, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.

Scenario 2: Indoor, no LEV, respirator (TRA 90% efficiency), duration of activity > 4hrs

Scenario 3: Indoor, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity <15mins

Table 8: Estimated exposure for workers / PROC 8b

Route of exposure	Concentrations				Justification
	Value				
Technical conditions and measures	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Unit	
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0	200.0	200.0	µg/cm ²	NA
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	4.506	15.021	15.021	mg/m ³	NA
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0	200.0	200.0	µg/cm ²	NA

NA = Not applicable

Scenario 1: Indoor, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.

Scenario 2: Indoor, no LEV, respirator (TRA 90% efficiency), duration of activity > 4hrs

Scenario 3: Indoor, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity < 15mins

Table 9: Estimated exposure for workers / PROC 9

Route of exposure	Concentrations				Justification
	Value				
Technical conditions and measures	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Unit	
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0	200.0	200.0	µg/cm ²	NA
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	15.021	15.021	15.021	mg/m ³	NA
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0	200.0	200.0	µg/cm ²	NA

NA = Not applicable

Scenario 1: Indoor, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.

Scenario 2: Indoor, no LEV, respirator (TRA 90% efficiency), duration of activity > 4hrs

Scenario 3: Indoor, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity < 15mins

Table 10: Estimated exposure for the environment / Production (ERC 1)

Compartment	PEC / TDI	Unit	Remark
STP	0.01	mg L-1	Only results from the largest producer are reported.
Freshwater (emission period)	0.00145	mg L-1	
Freshwater sediment	0.00248	mg kgwwt-1	
Soil (grass land)	0.214	mg kgwwt-1	
Marine water (emission period)	0.000154	mg L-1	
Marine water sediment	0.000264	mg kgwwt-1	
Total daily intake man via the environment	0.00229	mg.kgbw-1.d-1	

Compartment	PEC	Unit	Remark
Air (annual average)	0.00445	mg.m-3	Only results from the largest producer (site 1) are reported.

NA = Not applicable

1.2. Manufacture of Intermediates at Production Sites of Substance (on-site) and at Downstream User Sites (off-site): Esterification

1.2.1. Exposure Scenario 2

Exposure Scenario 2 covers esterifications, the most common use (wet), where the substance is used as intermediate resulting in a monomer (downstream use).

Esterification reactions result in Acrylic esters (Acrylates). These downstream uses can occur either on-site or off-site (with regard where the substance is produced). They can be captive or merchant use of the substance.

Based on identical use descriptors, the various esterifications can be described with a common Exposure Scenario.

Table 11: Description of the ES 2

1.2.1.1. Title	
Reference number	2
Free short title	Manufacture of intermediates at production sites of substance (on-site) and at other sites (off-site); e.g. Esterification.
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU 8 and 9; PROC 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, and 9; ERC 6a
Processes, tasks, activities covered	<p>PROC1: Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure; Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC2: Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure (e.g. sampling); Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC3: Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation); Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC4: Use in batch and other processes (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises; Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC5: Mixing and blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact); Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC8a: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non dedicated facilities; Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC8b: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities; Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC9: Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing); Industrial setting.</p>
Environment characteristic covered	ERC 6a: Industrial use of intermediates.
1.2.1.2. Operational conditions and risk management measures	
Use of Acrylic acid as intermediate to produce Acrylic acid esters (Acrylates) either at the production site of the substance (on-site) or at other locations (off-site). Most of the Acrylic acid producers are downstream integrated; so the majority of the substance is captive use.	
1.2.1.2.1. Control of workers exposure for PROC 1	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 1
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Use of the substance in high integrity contained system where little potential exists for exposures, e.g. any sampling via closed loop systems.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications
1.2.1.2.2. Control of workers exposure for PROC 2	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure (e.g. sampling).
Use descriptor covered	PROC 2
Processes, tasks, activities covered	<p>Continuous process but where the design philosophy is not specifically aimed at minimizing emissions.</p> <p>It is not high integrity and occasional exposure will arise e.g. through maintenance, sampling and equipment breakings.</p>
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications

1.2.1.2.3. Control of workers exposure for PROC 3			
Title information related to contributing scenario			
Workers related free short title	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation); Industrial setting.		
Use descriptor covered	PROC 3		
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Batch manufacture of a chemical or formulation where the predominant handling is in a contained manner, but where some opportunity for contact with chemicals occurs (e.g. through sampling).		
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications		
1.2.1.2.4. Control of workers exposure for PROC 4			
Title information related to contributing scenario			
Workers related free short title	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises; Industrial setting.		
Use descriptor covered	PROC 4		
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Use in batch manufacture of a chemical where significant opportunity for exposure arises, e.g. during the charging, the sampling or discharge of material, and when the nature of the design is likely to result in exposure.		
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications		
Scenario	1	2	3
Product characteristic			
Physical state	liquid	liquid	liquid
Concentration of substance	100%	100%	100%
Amounts used	Not relevant		
Operational conditions affecting workers exposure			
Location	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾
Domain	Industrial	Industrial	Industrial
Frequency and duration of use/exposure			
Duration of exposure	> 4 hours/day	> 4 hours/day	15 mins – 1 hour
Frequency of exposure	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year
Human factors not influenced by risk management			
Exposed skin surface	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)
Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release			
Not relevant.			
Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker			
Local exhaust ventilation ²⁾	Yes Effectiveness: 90%	no	no
Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure			
Not relevant.			
Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation			
Suitable respiratory protection	no	90%	no
Gloves ³⁾	yes	yes	yes

1.2.1.2.5. Control of workers exposure for PROC 5			
Title information related to contributing scenario			
Workers related free short title	Mixing and blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact); Industrial setting.		
Use descriptor covered	PROC 5		
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Manufacture or formulation of chemical products or articles using technologies related to mixing and blending of solid or liquid materials, and where the process is in stages and provides the opportunity for significant contact at any stage.		
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications		
Product characteristic	Industrial		
Physical state	liquid	liquid	liquid
Concentration of substance	100%	100%	100%
Amounts used			
Not relevant			
Operational conditions affecting workers exposure			
Location	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾
Domain	Industrial	Industrial	Industrial
Frequency and duration of use/exposure			
Duration of exposure	> 4 hours/day	> 4 hours/day	< 15 mins
Frequency of exposure	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year
Human factors not influenced by risk management			
Exposed skin surface	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)	Palm of both hands (480 cm ²)
Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release			
Not relevant.			
Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker			
Local exhaust ventilation ²⁾	Yes Effectiveness: 90%	no	no
Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure			
Not relevant.			
Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation			
Suitable respiratory protection	no	90%	no
Gloves ³⁾	yes	yes	yes
1.2.1.2.6. Control of workers exposure for PROC 8a			
Title information related to contributing scenario			
Workers related free short title	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities; Industrial or non-industrial setting.		
Use descriptor covered	PROC 8a		
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Sampling, loading, filling, transfer, dumping, bagging in non dedicated facilities. Exposure related to dust, vapour, aerosols or spillage, and cleaning of equipment to be expected.		
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications		

1.2.1.2.7. Control of workers exposure for PROC 8b	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities; Industrial or non-industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 8b
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Sampling, loading, filling, transfer, dumping, bagging in non dedicated facilities. Exposure related to dust, vapour, aerosols or spillage, and cleaning of equipment to be expected.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications
1.2.1.2.8. Control of workers exposure for PROC 9	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing); Industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 9
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Filling lines specifically designed to for both, capturing vapour and aerosol emissions and minimise spillage.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications
1.2.1.2.9. Control of environmental exposure for ERC 6a	
Free short title	Industrial use of intermediates.
Use descriptor covered	ERC 6a
Description	Use of intermediates in primarily the chemical industry using continuous processes or batch processes applying dedicated or multi-purpose equipment, either technically controlled or operated by manual interventions, for the synthesis (manufacture) of other substances.
Assessment Method	EUSES v2.1
Product characteristics	
Physical state	liquid
Concentration of substance	100%
Amounts used	
Maximum daily use at a site	≤ 214 tons/day
Maximum annual use at a site	≤ 64,318 tons/year
Fraction of the main local source	0.15
Frequency and duration of use	300 days (no. of emission days/year)
Pattern of release to the environment	Continuous
Environment factors not influenced by risk management	
Receiving surface water flow rate	≥ 18,000 m ³ /d (default)
Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure	
Industry category	3: Chemical industry: chemicals used in synthesis
Use category	33: Intermediates
Main category industrial use	Ib Continuous production process
Extra details on use category	Wet process
Emission tables	Industrial use: A3.3, B3.2
Indoor use.	
Release fraction to air from process	1E-05 (default)

Release fraction to wastewater from process	5E-04 (default)
Release fraction to soil from process	1E-04 (default)
Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release	
Fraction connected to sewer system	100%
Not relevant	
Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil	
Dry sludge application to agricultural soil	no
Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site	
Fraction of EU tonnage for region (private use)	0%
Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant	
Municipal Sewage Treatment Plant (STP)	Yes (freshwater and marine assessment)
Discharge rate of the Municipal STP	≥ 2000 m ³ /d (default)
Incineration of the sludge of the Municipal STP	default
Concentration of chemical in untreated wastewater	53.6 mg/L (based on EUSES output)
Concentration of chemical (total) in the STP effluent	10 µg/L (based on analytical results)
Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal	
Not relevant	
Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste	
Not relevant	

- 1) Indoors: "Indoors without LEV" covers as a worst-case scenario also "Outdoor" uses.
- 2) The LEV exposure modifying factors for dermal exposure implemented in the ECETOC TRA v2.0 are not considered.
- 3) Gloves were implemented as an additional RMM. The following effectiveness values are assumed: Use of suitable gloves: 80%; Use of suitable gloves in combination with basic employee training: 90%; Use of suitable gloves in combination with specific activity training: 95%; Use of suitable gloves in combination with intensive management supervision controls: 98%. Suitable gloves are: butyl rubber gloves (0.7mm thickness, >480 min resistance against Acrylic acid).

1.2.2. Exposure Estimation

For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 1 see Table 4
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 2 see Table 5
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 3 see Table 6
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 8a see Table 7
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 8b see Table 8
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 9 see Table 9

Table 12: Estimated exposure for workers / PROC 4

Route of exposure	Concentrations				Justification
	Value				
Technical conditions and measures	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Unit	
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0	200.0	200.0	µg/cm ²	NA

Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	6.008	6.008	12.017	mg/m ³	NA
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0	200.0	100.0	µg/cm ²	NA

NA = Not applicable

Scenario 1: Indoor, LEV, No respirator, duration of activity: >4 hrs

Scenario 2: Indoor, no LEV, respirator (TRA 90% efficiency), duration of activity > 4 hrs

Scenario 3: Indoor, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity 15mins – 1 hr.

Table 13: Estimated exposure for workers / PROC 5

Route of exposure	Concentrations				Justification
	Value				
Technical conditions and measures	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Unit	
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	400.0	400.0	400.0	µg/cm ²	NA
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	15.021	15.021	15.021	mg/m ³	NA
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	400.0	400.0	400.0	µg/cm ²	NA

NA = Not applicable

Scenario 1: Indoor, LEV, No respirator, duration of activity: >4 hrs

Scenario 2: Indoor, no LEV, respirator (TRA 90% efficiency), duration of activity > 4 hrs

Scenario 3: Indoor, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity < 15 mins

Table 14: Estimated exposure for the environment / Manufacture of intermediates on-site / off-site (ERC 6a)

Compartment	PEC / TDI		Unit	Remark
	Esterification on-site	Esterification off-site		
STP	0.01	0.01	mg L-1	NA
Freshwater (emission period)	0.00145	0.00145	mg L-1	
Freshwater sediment	0.00248	0.00248	mg kgwwt-1	
Soil (grass land)	0.0075	0.00199	mg kgwwt-1	
Marine water (emission period)	0.000154	0.000154	mg L-1	
Marine water sediment	0.000264	0.000264	mg kgwwt-1	
Total daily intake man via the environment	0.000326	0.00013	mg.kgbw-1.d-1	

Compartment	PEC		Unit	Remark
Air (annual average)	0.000557	0.000166	mg.m-3	NA

NA = Not applicable

1.3. Polymerization at Production Sites of Substance (on-site) and at Downstream User Sites (off-site): Superabsorber Polymers and other Polyacrylates

1.3.1. Exposure Scenario 3

Exposure Scenario 3 covers all polymerizations (wet), where the substance is used as monomer (downstream use).

The respective polymerizations of Acrylic acid are manufacture of Superabsorber Polymers (on-site and off-site, with regard where the substance is produced) and Polyacrylates (on-site and off-site).

On-, off-site polymerizations can be captive or merchant use of the substance.

Based on identical use descriptors, these processes can be described with a common Exposure Scenario.

Table 15: Description of the ES 3

1.3.1.1. Title	
Reference number	3
Free short title	Wet polymerization at Acrylic Acid production sites (on-site) or off-site
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU 8, 9 and 12; PROC 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, 9; ERC 6c and 6d
Processes, tasks, activities covered	PROC1: Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure; Industrial setting. PROC2: Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure (e.g. sampling); Industrial setting. PROC3: Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation); Industrial setting. PROC4: Use in batch and other processes (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises; Industrial setting. PROC5: Mixing and blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact); Industrial setting. PROC8a: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at non dedicated facilities; Industrial setting. PROC8b: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities; Industrial setting. PROC9: Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing); Industrial setting.
Environment characteristic covered	ERC 6c: Industrial use of monomers for polymerization ERC 6d: Industrial use of process regulators for polymerisation processes in production of resins, rubbers, polymers.
1.3.1.2. Operational conditions and risk management measures	
Polymerization of Acrylic acid at either the sites where the substance is produced (on-site) or off-site. Most Acrylic acid producers have downstream applications; the amount of the substance used by non-acid producers is minor.	
Polymerization processes, where the manufacturer of the substance is also operating on- or offsite polymerizations, are limited to the 5 production sites in EU.	
1.3.1.2.1. Control of workers exposure for PROC 1	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 1
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Use of the substance in high integrity contained system where little potential exists for exposures, e.g. any sampling via closed loop systems.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications

1.3.1.2.2. Control of workers exposure for PROC 2	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure (e.g. sampling).
Use descriptor covered	PROC 2
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Continuous process but where the design philosophy is not specifically aimed at minimizing emissions. It is not high integrity and occasional exposure will arise e.g. through maintenance, sampling and equipment breakings.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications

1.3.1.2.3. Control of workers exposure for PROC 3	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation); Industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 3
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Batch manufacture of a chemical or formulation where the predominant handling is in a contained manner, but where some opportunity for contact with chemicals occurs (e.g. through sampling).
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications

1.3.1.2.4. Control of workers exposure for PROC 4	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises; Industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 4
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Use in batch manufacture of a chemical where significant opportunity for exposure arises, e.g. during the charging, the sampling or discharge of material, and when the nature of the design is likely to result in exposure.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications

1.3.1.2.5. Control of workers exposure for PROC 5	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Mixing and blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact); Industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 5
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Manufacture or formulation of chemical products or articles using technologies related to mixing and blending of solid or liquid materials, and where the process is in stages and provides the opportunity for significant contact at any stage.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications

1.3.1.2.6. Control of workers exposure for PROC 8a	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities; Industrial or non-industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 8a

Processes, tasks, activities covered	Sampling, loading, filling, transfer, dumping, bagging in non dedicated facilities. Exposure related to dust, vapour, aerosols or spillage, and cleaning of equipment to be expected.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications
1.3.1.2.7. Control of workers exposure for PROC 8b	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities; Industrial or non-industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 8b
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Sampling, loading, filling, transfer, dumping, bagging in non dedicated facilities. Exposure related to dust, vapour, aerosols or spillage, and cleaning of equipment to be expected.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications
1.3.1.2.8. Control of workers exposure for PROC 9	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing); Industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 9
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Filling lines specifically designed to for both, capturing vapour and aerosol emissions and minimise spillage.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications

1.3.1.2.9. Control of environmental exposure for ERC 6c and ERC 6d	
Free short title	Industrial use of monomers for polymerization
Use descriptor covered	ERC 6c, 6d
Description	ERC 6c: Industrial use of monomers in the production of plastics (thermoplastics), polymerization processes. For example the use of vinyl chloride in the production of PVC. ERC 6d: Industrial use of chemicals (cross-linking agents, curing agents) in the production of thermosets and rubbers, polymer processing. For instance the use of styrene in polyester production or vulcanization agents in the production of rubbers.
Assessment Method	EUSES v2.1
Product characteristics	
Physical state	liquid
Concentration of substance	100%
Amounts used	
Maximum daily use at a site	SAP on-site ≤ 54 tons/day SAP off-site ≤ 39 tons/day Polyacrylates on-site ≤ 11 tons/day Polyacrylates off-site ≤ 11 tons/day
Maximum annual use at a site	SAP on-site ≤ 16,250 tons/year SAP off-site ≤ 11,700 tons/year Polyacrylates on-site ≤ 3,250 tons/year Polyacrylates off-site ≤ 3,250 tons/year

Fraction of the main local source	0.05 (default)	
Frequency and duration of use	300 days (no. of emission days/year)	
Pattern of release to the environment	Continuous	
Environment factors not influenced by risk management		
Receiving surface water flow rate	≥ 18,000 m ³ /d (default)	
Other given operational conditions affecting environmental exposure		
Industry category	11: Polymers industry	
Use category	43: Process regulators	
Main category industrial use	III Non-dispersive use	
Extra details on use category	Wet: monomers	
Emission tables	Industrial use: A3.10, B3.9	
Indoor use.		
Release fraction to air from process	SAP: 1E-04 (default) Polyacrylates : 1E-02 (default)	
Release fraction to wastewater from process	SAP: 1E-02 (default) Polyacrylates : 1E-02 (default)	
Release fraction to soil from process	SAP: 0 (default) Polyacrylates : 0 (default)	
Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release		
Fraction connected to sewer system	100%	
Technical onsite conditions and measures to reduce or limit discharges, air emissions and releases to soil		
Dry sludge application to agricultural soil	no	
Organizational measures to prevent/limit release from site		
Fraction of EU tonnage for region (private use)	0%	
Conditions and measures related to municipal sewage treatment plant		
Municipal Sewage Treatment Plant (STP)	Yes (freshwater and marine assessment)	
Discharge rate of the Municipal STP	≥ 2,000 m ³ /d (default)	
Incineration of the sludge of the Municipal STP	default	
Concentration of chemical in untreated wastewater (Superabsorber production on-site as largest user)	271 mg/L (based on EUSES output)	
Concentration of chemical (total) in the STP effluent	10 µg/L (based on analytical results)	
Conditions and measures related to external treatment of waste for disposal		
Not relevant		
Conditions and measures related to external recovery of waste		
Not relevant		

1.3.2. Exposure Estimation

For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 1 see Table 4
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 2 see Table 5
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 3 see Table 6
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 4 see Table 12
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 5 see Table 13

For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 8a see Table 7

For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 8b see Table 8

For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 9 see Table 9

Table 16: Estimated exposure for the environment / Wet polymerization (ERC 6c and ERC 6d)

Compartment	PEC / TDI				Unit	Remark
	SAP on-site	SAP off-site	P-Acrylates (on-site)	P-Acrylates (off-site)		
STP	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	mg L-1	
Freshwater (emission period)	0.00145	0.00145	0.00145	0.00145	mg L-1	
Freshwater sediment	0.00248	0.00248	0.00248	0.00248	mg kgwwt-1	
Soil (grass land)	0.0351	0.0255	0.0139	0.0139	mg kgwwt-1	
Marine water (emission period)	0.000154	0.000154	0.000154	0.000154	mg L-1	
Marine water sediment	0.000264	0.000264	0.000264	0.000264	mg kgwwt-1	
Total daily intake man via the environment	0.000701	0.000527	0.0126	0.0126	mg.kgbw-1.d-1	

Compartment	PEC				Unit	Remark
	SAP on-site	SAP off-site	P-Acrylates (on-site)	P-Acrylates (off-site)		
Air (annual average)	0.0013	0.000958	0.0248	0.0248	mgc.m-3	

NA = Not applicable

1.4. Other Uses of Substance as Intermediate

1.4.1. Exposure Scenario ES 4

Exposure Scenario 4 covers applications where the substance is used as intermediate forming another substance or monomer (e.g. reaction at the double bond, alkylations etc.).

Table 17: Description of the ES 4

1.4.1.1. Title	
Reference number	4
Free short title	Manufacture of intermediates at production sites of substance (on-site) and at other sites (off-site); e.g. Esterification.
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU 8 and 9; PROC 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8a, 8b, and 9; ERC 6a
Processes, tasks, activities covered	<p>PROC1: Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure; Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC2: Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure (e.g. sampling); Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC3: Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation); Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC4: Use in batch and other processes (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises; Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC5: Mixing and blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact); Industrial setting.</p> <p>PROC8a: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging)</p>

	from/to vessels/large containers at non dedicated facilities; Industrial setting. PROC8b: Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities; Industrial setting. PROC9: Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing); Industrial setting.
Environment characteristic covered	ERC 6a: Industrial use of intermediates.
1.4.1.2. Operational conditions and risk management measures	
Use of Acrylic acid as an intermediate at either the sites where the substance is produced (on-site) or off-site. Most Acrylic acid producers have downstream applications; the amount of the substance used by non-acid producers is minor.	
1.4.1.2.1. Control of workers exposure for PROC 1	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Use in closed process, no likelihood of exposure.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 1
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Use of the substance in high integrity contained system where little potential exists for exposures, e.g. any sampling via closed loop systems.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications
1.4.1.2.2. Control of workers exposure for PROC 2	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Use in closed, continuous process with occasional controlled exposure (e.g. sampling).
Use descriptor covered	PROC 2
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Continuous process but where the design philosophy is not specifically aimed at minimizing emissions. It is not high integrity and occasional exposure will arise e.g. through maintenance, sampling and equipment breakings.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications
1.4.1.2.3. Control of workers exposure for PROC 3	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Use in closed batch process (synthesis or formulation); Industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 3
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Batch manufacture of a chemical or formulation where the predominant handling is in a contained manner, but where some opportunity for contact with chemicals occurs (e.g. through sampling).
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications
1.4.1.2.4. Control of workers exposure for PROC 4	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Use in batch and other process (synthesis) where opportunity for exposure arises; Industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 4
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Use in batch manufacture of a chemical where significant opportunity for exposure arises, e.g. during the charging, the sampling or discharge of material, and when the nature of the design is likely to result in exposure.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications

1.4.1.2.5. Control of workers exposure for PROC 5	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Mixing and blending in batch processes for formulation of preparations and articles (multistage and/or significant contact); Industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 5
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Manufacture or formulation of chemical products or articles using technologies related to mixing and blending of solid or liquid materials, and where the process is in stages and provides the opportunity for significant contact at any stage.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications
1.4.1.2.6. Control of workers exposure for PROC 8a	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities; Industrial or non-industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 8a
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Sampling, loading, filling, transfer, dumping, bagging in non dedicated facilities. Exposure related to dust, vapour, aerosols or spillage, and cleaning of equipment to be expected.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications
1.4.1.2.7. Control of workers exposure for PROC 8b	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Transfer of substance or preparation (charging/discharging) from/to vessels/large containers at dedicated facilities; Industrial or non-industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 8b
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Sampling, loading, filling, transfer, dumping, bagging in non dedicated facilities. Exposure related to dust, vapour, aerosols or spillage, and cleaning of equipment to be expected.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications
1.4.1.2.8. Control of workers exposure for PROC 9	
Title information related to contributing scenario	
Workers related free short title	Transfer of substance or preparation into small containers (dedicated filling line, including weighing); Industrial setting.
Use descriptor covered	PROC 9
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Filling lines specifically designed to for both, capturing vapour and aerosol emissions and minimise spillage.
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications
1.4.1.2.9. Control of environmental exposure for ERC 6a	
Free short title	Industrial use of intermediates.
Use descriptor covered	ERC 6a
Description	Use of intermediates in primarily the chemical industry using continuous processes or batch processes applying dedicated or multi-purpose equipment, either technically controlled or operated by manual interventions, for the

	synthesis (manufacture) of other substances.
Assessment Method	EUSES v2.1

1.4.2. Exposure Estimation

For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 1 see Table 4
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 2 see Table 5
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 3 see Table 6
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 4 see Table 12
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 5 see Table 13
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 8a see Table 7
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 8b see Table 8
 For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 9 see Table 9
 For the estimated environmental exposure / ERC 6a see Table 14

1.5. Use of Substance as laboratory reagent

1.5.1. Exposure Scenario ES 5

Table 18: Description of the ES 5

1.5.1.1. Title			
Reference number	5		
Free short title	Use as laboratory reagent		
Systematic title based on use descriptor	SU 8 , 9, 24; PROC 15; ERC 1		
Processes, tasks, activities covered	PROC15: Use a laboratory reagent; Non-industrial setting.		
Environment characteristic covered	ERC1: Production of chemicals.		
1.5.1.2. Operational conditions and risk management measures			
Use as laboratory agent at the 6 production sites in Europe.			
1.5.1.2.1. Control of workers exposure for PROC 15			
Title information related to contributing scenario			
Workers related free short title	Use a laboratory reagent; Non-industrial setting.		
Use descriptor covered	PROC 15		
Processes, tasks, activities covered	Use of substances at small scale laboratory (< 1 L or 1 kg). Larger laboratories and R+D installations should be treated as industrial processes.		
Assessment Method	ECETOC TRA Worker v2.0 with modifications		
Scenario	1	2	3
Product characteristic			
Physical state	liquid	liquid	liquid
Concentration of substance	100%	100%	100%
Amounts used			
This information is not needed for assessment of worker's exposure.			
Operational conditions affecting workers exposure			
Location	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾	Indoors ¹⁾
Domain	Industrial	Industrial	Industrial
Frequency and duration of use/exposure			

Duration of exposure	> 4 hours	> 4 hours	1 – 4 hours
Frequency of exposure	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year	≤ 240 days/year
Human factors not influenced by risk management			
Exposed skin surface	Palm of one hand (240 cm ²)	Palm of one hand (240 cm ²)	Palm of one hand (240 cm ²)
Technical conditions and measures at process level (source) to prevent release			
Not relevant.			
Technical conditions and measures to control dispersion from source towards the worker			
Local exhaust ventilation ²⁾	Yes Effectiveness: 90%	no	no
Organisational measures to prevent /limit releases, dispersion and exposure			
Not relevant.			
Conditions and measures related to personal protection, hygiene and health evaluation			
Suitable respiratory protection	no	90%	no
Gloves ³⁾	yes	yes	yes
1.5.1.2.2. Control of environmental exposure for ERC 1			
Free short title	Production of chemical.		
Use descriptor covered	ERC 1		
Description	Production of organic and inorganic substances in chemical, petrochemical, primary metals and minerals industry including intermediates, monomers using continuous processes or batch processes applying dedicated or multi-purpose equipment, either technically controlled or operated by manual interventions.		
Assessment Method	EUSES v2.1		

- 1) Indoors: "Indoors without LEV" covers as a worst-case scenario also "Outdoor" uses.
 2) The LEV exposure modifying factors for dermal exposure implemented in the ECETOC TRA v2.0 are not considered.
 3) Gloves were implemented as an additional RMM. The following effectiveness values are assumed: Use of suitable gloves: 80%; Use of suitable gloves in combination with basic employee training: 90%; Use of suitable gloves in combination with specific activity training: 95%; Use of suitable gloves in combination with intensive management supervision controls: 98%. Suitable gloves are: butyl rubber gloves (0.7mm thickness, >480 min resistance against Acrylic acid).

1.5.2. Exposure Estimation

Table 19: Estimated exposure for workers, Industrial Settings/ PROC 15

Route of exposure Industrial	Concentrations				Justification
	Value				
Technical conditions and measures	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	Unit	
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	20.0	20.0	20.0	µg/cm ²	NA

Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	3.004	3.004	18.025	mg/m ³	NA
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	20.0	20.0	20.0	µg/cm ²	NA

NA = Not applicable

Scenario 1: Indoor with LEV, No respirator, duration of activity: > 4 hrs

Scenario 2: Indoor, no LEV, Respirator (TRA 90% efficiency, duration of activity: > 4 hrs

Scenario 3: Indoor, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity: 1-4 hrs

- For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 1 see Table 4
- For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 2 see Table 5
- For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 3 see Table 6
- For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 4 see Table 12
- For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 5 see Table 13
- For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 8a see Table 7
- For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 8b see Table 8
- For the estimated exposure for workers / PROC 9 see Table 9
- For the estimated exposure for the environment / ERC 1 see Table 10

2. RISK CHARACTERIZATION

General remarks

Human health – Industrial Worker

- Risk characterization for systemic inhalative effects:
 As discussed in the hazard assessment, Acrylic acid does not exert long-term systemic toxicity at doses below local irritation effects on the upper respiratory tract and the proposed local DNEL for inhalation is considered to be protective also from systemic toxicity. Thus the exposure scenarios for which a RCR < 1 can be demonstrated comparing the exposure valued with the local inhalative DNEL also cover systemic effects.
 Since the risk characterization is solely based on local effects, no RCR combined which applies only to systemic effects, was calculated.
- Risk characterization for short-term effects:
 For risk characterization of short-term effects, only the dermal route was taken into consideration. As stated before, local irritation effects on the upper respiratory tract are the most critical effects observed after short-term or long-term exposure via inhalation determining the DNEL. Long-term exposure scenarios for which a RCR < 1 can be demonstrated comparing the exposure valued with the local inhalative DNEL also cover short-term exposure.
- The risk assessment covers the life cycle of the substance as monomer until the polymerization reaction and as intermediate forming a new substance or new monomer. The unreacted residual monomer in a polymer is to be regarded as impurity (<1000 ppm) that need not to be critically addressed in the exposure assessment.

Environment

- Releases of Acrylic acid into the environment are to be expected during production of Acrylic acid and processing (esterification resulting in a new monomer and polymerization of Acrylic acid as monomer) mainly via wastewater and to a lesser extent via exhaust gases.
 The risk assessment covers the life cycle of the substance (monomer) until the polymerization reaction and as intermediate forming a new substance or new monomer. The unreacted residual monomer in a polymer is to be regarded as impurity (<1000 ppm) that need not to be critically addressed in the risk assessment.

2.1. Manufacture and Distribution of the Substance

2.1.1. Human Health

2.1.1.1. Worker

Table 20: Risk characterization – Worker / PROC 1

Exposure	Exposure estimate			DNEL	RCR per route			Safe use
	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	0.030 mg/m ³	Not required	Not required	30,0 mg/m ³	0.001	Not required	Not required	yes

Long-term exposure, local, dermal	100.0 µg/cm ²	Not required	Not required	1000µg/cm ²	0.10	Not required	Not required	yes
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	100.0 µg/cm ²	Not required	Not required	1000µg/cm ²	0.10	Not required	Not required	yes

Scenario 1: Indoors, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.
 Scenario 2: Indoors, no LEV, respirator (90% efficiency), max. tolerable duration of activity
 Scenario 3: Indoors, no LEV, no respirator, max. tolerable duration of activity

Table 21: Risk characterization – Worker / PROC 2

Exposure	Exposure estimate			DNEL	RCR per route			Safe use
	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	3.004 mg/m ³	3.004 mg/m ³	18.025 mg/m ³	30,0 mg/m ³	0.10	0.1001	0.6008	yes
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	40.0 µg/cm ²	40.0 µg/cm ²	40.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.04	0.04	0.04	yes
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	40.0 µg/cm ²	40.0 µg/cm ²	40.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.04	0.1429	0.1429	yes

Scenario 1: Indoors, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.
 Scenario 2: Indoors, no LEV, respirator (90 % efficiency), duration of activity >4hrs
 Scenario 3: Indoors, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity 1-4hrs

Table 22: Risk characterization – Worker / PROC 3

Exposure	Exposure estimate			DNEL	RCR per route			Safe use
	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	7.510 mg/m ³	7.510 mg/m ³	15.021 mg/m ³	30,0 mg/m ³	0.25	0.25	0.5	yes
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	20.0 µg/cm ²	20.0 µg/cm ²	20.0 µg/cm ²	1000µg/cm ²	0.02	0.02	0.02	yes
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	20.0 µg/cm ²	20.0 µg/cm ²	20.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.02	0.02	0.02	yes

Scenario 1: Indoors, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.
 Scenario 2: Indoors, no LEV, respirator (90% efficiency), duration of activity >4hrs
 Scenario 3: Indoors, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity 15mins-1hr

Table 23: Risk characterization – Worker / PROC 8a

Exposure	Exposure estimate			DNEL	RCR per route			Safe use
	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	15.021 mg/m ³	15.021 mg/m ³	15.021 mg/m ³	30,0 mg/m ³	0.501	0.501	0.501	yes
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.2	0.2	0.2	yes
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.2	0.2	0.2	yes

Scenario 1: Indoors, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.
 Scenario 2: Indoors, no LEV, respirator (90% efficiency), duration of activity >4hrs
 Scenario 3: Indoors, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity <15mins

Table 24: Risk characterization – Worker / PROC 8b

Exposure	Exposure estimate			DNEL	RCR per route			Safe use
	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	4.506 mg/m ³	15.021 mg/m ³	15.021 mg/m ³	30,0 mg/m ³	0.15	0.501	0.501	yes
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.20	0.20	0.20	yes
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.20	0.20	0.20	yes

Scenario 1: Indoors, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.
 Scenario 2: Indoors, no LEV, respirator (90% efficiency), duration of activity >4hrs
 Scenario 3: Indoors, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity <15mins

Table 25: Risk characterization – Worker / PROC 9

Exposure	Exposure estimate			DNEL	RCR per route			Safe use
	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	15.021 mg/m ³	15.021 mg/m ³	15.021 mg/m ³	30,0 mg/m ³	0.501	0.501	0.501	yes
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.20	0.20	0.20	yes

Short-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.20	0.20	0.20	yes
------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	------	------	------	-----

Scenario 1: Indoors, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.
 Scenario 2: Indoors, no LEV, respirator (90% efficiency), duration of activity >4hrs
 Scenario 3: Indoors, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity <15mins

2.1.1.2. Consumer

Not relevant.

2.1.1.3. Indirect Exposure to Humans via the Environment

Indirect exposure to humans via the environment was calculated on a local scale and on a regional scale.

Input data for estimating the RCR:

DNEL (consumer) = 3.6 mg/m³ (corresponding to approx. 1.029 mg/kg bw/d)

The DNEL (consumer) was converted according to the equation:

DNEL (mg/kg bw/d) = DNEL (mg/m³) x 20 m³ air/person / 70 kg bw

Table 26: Risk characterization – Humans via the environment / Production (ERC 1)

TDI local [mg/kg bw/d]	TDI regional [mg/kg bw/d]	DNEL [mg/kg bw/d]	MOS local	MOS regional	RCR local	RCR regional	Safe use
0.00229*	0.0000507	1.029	1.75 x 10 ⁴	7.89 x 10 ⁵	0.0022	0.0000493	yes

* Highest TDI local reported as worst case (largest site)

TDI: Total daily intake

MOS: margin of safety; MOS local/regional values from EUSES 2.1 calculations as MOS total exposure

RCR: TDI / DNEL

The risk characterization was performed by calculating the MOS, i.e. the ratio between the total daily intake and the relevant exposure parameter, which is the oral N(L)OAEL from repeated dose toxicity studies. It is assumed that man is exposed throughout his or her lifetime. Additionally, the air concentration to which man is estimated to be exposed can be compared to the inhalatory N(L)OAEL for these endpoints.

The margin of safety (MOS) estimated by EUSES 2.1 was high confirming a safe use on a local and regional scale.

According to the Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (ECHA 2008), the total daily human doses (local and regional) are to be compared with the DNEL value for external exposure. The resulting RCR (TDI: DNEL-ratio) is < 1, indicating safe use.

Based on the calculated exposure estimates as compared to the respective NOAELs and DNEL, the total daily intake for humans via the environment does not present a potential risk.

2.1.2. Environment

The M_{safe} was calculated manually according to the equation:

$$M_{\text{safe}} = M_{\text{used}} \times \text{PNEC} / \text{PEC}_{\text{local}}$$

(with M_{used} = use rate of the substance as defined in the exposure scenario in kg/d

- 288,000 t/a as documented in production step 1, largest site, EUSES v2.1; divided by 300 production days = **960,000 kg/d**)

2.1.2.1. Aquatic compartment (incl. sediment)

Table 27: Risk characterization – Aquatic Environment / Production (ERC 1)

Compartment	Concentrations			RCR ²	Msafe ³	Safe use
	PEC ¹	PNEC	Unit			
Freshwater	0.00145	0.003	mg L-1	0.483	1,986,207	yes
Freshwater sediment	0.00248	0.00514	mg kgwwt-1	0.483	1,989,677	yes
Marine water	0.000154	0.0003	mg L-1	0.514	1,870,130	yes
Marine water sediment	0.000264	0.000514	mg kgwwt-1	0.514	1,869,091	yes

¹ Highest PEC local reported as worst case (largest site)

² RCR as given by EUSES v2.1 calculations

³ Rounded values reported.

The estimated local concentrations of acrylic acid do not present a potential risk for the respective biota (worst case scenario – largest site). This is supported by the ready biodegradability of acrylic acid as demonstrated in the OECD studies on biodegradation as well as by the results of the sewage treatment plant monitoring program revealing degradation rates of 99.9 % in municipal as well as industrial sewage treatment plants.

2.1.2.2. Terrestrial compartment

Table 28: Risk characterization – Soil / Production (ERC 1)

Compartment	Concentrations			RCR ²	Msafe ³	Safe use
	PEC ¹	PNEC	Unit			
Soil	0.214	1	mg kgwwt-1	0.00136	4,485,981	yes

¹ Highest PEC local reported as worst case (largest site)

² RCR as given by EUSES v2.1 calculations

³ Rounded values reported.

The PEC local for soil was estimated to be 0.214 mg/kg wwt for grassland at the largest site (worst case soil grassland according to EUSES 2.1 calculations and largest site). Compared to the PNEC for soil organisms of 1 mg/kg the exposure to the estimated local soil concentrations does not present a potential risk. Furthermore, acrylic acid is readily biodegradable in OECD biodegradation studies. According to the EU RAR (2002), study results also suggest rapid degradation in soil. Due to its inherent physico-chemical properties, fugacity models showed that 99 % of acrylic acid emissions have to be expected in the water compartment [EU RAR 2002].

2.1.2.3. Atmospheric Compartment

The PEC local for air (annual average) was estimated to be 0.00445 mg/m³. Compared to the DNEL (consumer) of 3.6 mg/m³, human inhalatory exposure to the estimated local air concentrations does not present a potential risk. The PEC local for air cannot be compared with the PNEC for air (e.g. plant PNEC) since the latter is not available.

The continental concentration of acrylic acid in the atmosphere was estimated to be 0.0000015 mg/m³ and the regional concentration was estimated to be 0.0000669 mg/m³.

According to Q(SAR) data using SRC AOPWIN v1.92a (June 2008) of EPI Suite v.4.0, acrylic acid will be slowly degraded by photochemical processes after exposure to the air reacting with the photochemically produced hydroxyl radicals and with ozone (calculated half-life for a 12-hour day and an overall OH rate constant of $9.7250 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3/\text{molecule-sec}$ is 13.198 hours [$1.5 \times 10^6 \text{ OH/cm}^3$] and a calculated half-life with an overall ozone rate constant of $0.175000 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3/\text{molecule-sec}$ of 6.549 days at $7 \times 10^{11} \text{ mol/cm}^3$).

Acrylic acid is thought to make no contribution to the stratospheric ozone depletion of the atmosphere due to lack of Cl, Br or F substituents. The test substance is not listed in Annex I of Regulation (EC) 2037/2000 on substances that deplete the ozone layer. It also does not belong to the substances listed in Annex I of Directive 67/548/EEC which are classified with R59. The test substance does not belong to the green house gases listed in P Foster, PV Ramaswamy et al. Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

It is not likely that acrylic acid will significantly contribute to photochemical ozone formation in the troposphere. There are no indications that acrylic acid will play a role in acidification due to lack of Cl, F, N or S substituents.

2.1.2.4. Microbiological activity in Sewage Treatment Systems

Table 29: Risk characterization – STP / Production (ERC 1)

Compartment	Concentrations			RCR ²	M _{safe} ³	Safe use
	PEC ¹	PNEC	Unit			
STP	0.01	0.9	mg L-1	0.0111	86,400,000	yes

¹ Highest PEC local reported as worst case (largest site)

² RCR as given by EUSES v2.1 calculations

³ Rounded values reported.

The PEC local for sewage treatment plants (STP) was estimated to be 0.01 mg/L at the largest site (worst case). Compared to the PNEC for STP micro-organisms of 0.9 mg/L the exposure to the estimated local STP concentrations of acrylic acid does not present a potential risk.

The PEC / PNEC values and their ratios, expressed as Risk Characterisation Ratios (RCRs), clearly demonstrate that manufacture and distribution of acrylic acid as described does not present a risk neither for the environment nor for human health through environmental exposure. This is also suggested by the M_{safe} values, which exceed the M_{used} values by far.

2.2. Manufacture of Intermediates of Substance

Risk characterization covers esterifications, the most common use, where the substance is used as intermediate resulting in a monomer. Esterification reactions can occur either on-site or off-site (with regard where the substance is produced). They can be captive or merchant use of the substance.

2.2.1. Human Health

2.2.1.1. Workers

For the RCRs Worker / PROC 1 see Table 20

For the RCRs Worker / PROC 2 see Table 21

For the RCRs Worker / PROC 3 see Table 22

For the RCRs Worker / PROC 8a see Table 23

For the RCRs Worker / PROC 8b see Table 24

For the RCRs Worker / PROC 9 see Table 25

Table 30: Risk characterization - Worker / PROC 4

Exposure	Exposure estimate			DNEL	RCR per route			Safe use
	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	6.008 mg/m ³	6.008 mg/m ³	12.017 mg/m ³	30,0 mg/m ³	0.20	0.20	0.401	yes
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	1000µg/cm ²	0.20	0.20	0.20	yes
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	200.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.20	0.20	0.20	yes

Scenario 1: Indoors, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.

Scenario 2: Indoors, no LEV, respirator (90% efficiency), duration of activity >4hrs

Scenario 3: Indoors, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity 15mins-1hr

Table 31: Risk characterization - Worker / PROC 5

Exposure	Exposure estimate			DNEL	RCR per route			Safe use
	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	15.021 mg/m ³	15.021 mg/m ³	15.021 mg/m ³	30,0 mg/m ³	0.501	0.501	0.501	yes
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	400.0 µg/cm ²	400.0 µg/cm ²	400.0 µg/cm ²	1000µg/cm ²	0.40	0.40	0.40	yes
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	400.0 µg/cm ²	400.0 µg/cm ²	400.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.40	0.40	0.40	yes

Scenario 1: Indoors, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.

Scenario 2: Indoors, no LEV, respirator (90% efficiency), duration of activity >4hrs

Scenario 3: Indoors, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity <15mins

2.2.1.2. Consumers

Not relevant.

2.2.1.3. Indirect exposure to humans via the environment

Indirect exposure to humans via the environment was calculated on a local scale and on a regional scale.

Input data for estimating the RCR:

DNEL (consumer) = 3.6 mg/m³ (corresponding to approx. 1.029 mg/kg bw/d)

The DNEL (consumer) was converted according to the equation:

DNEL (mg/kg bw/d) = DNEL (mg/m³) x 20 m³ air/person / 70 kg bw

Table 32: Risk characterization – Humans via the environment / Manufacture of intermediates (ERC 6a)

TDI local [mg/kg bw/d]	TDI regional [mg/kg bw/d]	DNEL [mg/kg bw/d]	MOS local	MOS regional	RCR local	RCR regional	Safe use
0.000326*	0.00005 07	1.029	1.23 x 10 ⁵	7.89 x 10 ⁵	0.000317	0.000049 3	yes

* Highest TDI local reported as worst case (based on volume of largest life cycle step Esterification on-site)

TDI: Total daily intake

MOS: margin of safety; MOS local/regional values from EUSES 2.1 calculations as MOS total exposure

RCR: TDI / DNEL

The risk characterization was performed by calculating the MOS, i.e. the ratio between the total daily intake and the relevant exposure parameter, which is the oral N(L)OAEL from repeated dose toxicity studies. It is assumed that man is exposed throughout his or her lifetime. Additionally, the air concentration to which man is estimated to be exposed can be compared to the inhalatory N(L)OAEL for these endpoints.

The margin of safety (MOS) estimated by EUSES 2.1 was high confirming a safe use on a local and regional scale.

According to the Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (ECHA 2008), the total daily human doses (local and regional) are to be compared with the DNEL value for external exposure. The resulting RCR (TDI : DNEL-ratio) is < 1, indicating safe use. Based on the calculated exposure estimates as compared to the respective NOAELs and DNEL, the total daily intake for humans via the environment does not present a potential risk.

2.2.2. Environment

The M_{safe} was calculated manually according to the equation:

$$M_{\text{safe}} = M_{\text{used}} \times \text{PNEC} / \text{PEC}_{\text{local}}$$

(with M_{used} = use rate of the substance as defined in the exposure scenario in kg/d – 429.000 t/a as documented in life cycle step 7, esterification on-site, EUSES v2.1; divided by 300 production days = 1,430,000 kg/d, divided by 6.67 = **214,393 kg/d** [based EUSES default estimation fraction of the main local source of 0.15])

2.2.2.1. Aquatic compartment (incl. sediment)

Table 33: Risk characterization – Aquatic Environment / Manufacture of intermediates (ERC 6a)

Compartment	Concentrations			RCR ²	Msafe ³	Safe use
	PEC ¹	PNEC	Unit			
Freshwater	0.00145	0.003	mg L-1	0.483	443,572	yes
Freshwater sediment	0.00248	0.00514	mg kgwwt-1	0.483	443,347	yes
Marine water	0.000154	0.0003	mg L-1	0.514	417,649	yes
Marine water sediment	0.000264	0.000514	mg kgwwt-1	0.514	417,417	yes

¹ Highest PEC local reported as worst case (largest life cycle step)

² RCR as given by EUSES v2.1 calculations

³ Rounded values reported.

The estimated local concentrations of acrylic acid do not present a potential risk for the respective biota (worst case scenario – largest site). This is supported by the ready biodegradability of acrylic acid as demonstrated in the OECD studies on biodegradation as well as by the results of the sewage treatment plant monitoring program revealing degradation rates of 99.9 % in municipal as well as industrial sewage treatment plants.

2.2.2.2. Terrestrial compartment

Table 34: Risk characterization – Soil / Manufacture of intermediates (ERC 6a)

Compartment	Concentrations			RCR ²	Msafe ³	Safe use
	PEC ¹	PNEC	Unit			
Soil	0.0075	1	mg kgwwt-1	0.0075	28,585,733	yes

¹ Highest PEC local reported as worst case (largest life cycle step)

² RCR as given by EUSES v2.1 calculations

³ Rounded values reported.

The PEC local for soil was estimated to be 0.0075 mg/kg wwt for grassland for the largest life cycle step (worst case soil grassland according to EUSES 2.1 calculations and largest life cycle step). Compared to the PNEC for soil organisms of 1 mg/kg the exposure to the estimated local soil concentrations does not present a potential risk. Furthermore, acrylic acid is readily biodegradable in OECD biodegradation studies. According to the EU RAR (2002), study results also suggest rapid degradation in soil. Due to its inherent physico-chemical properties, fugacity models showed that 99 % of acrylic acid emissions have to be expected in the water compartment [EU RAR 2002].

2.2.2.3. Atmospheric compartment

The PEC local for air (annual average) was estimated to be 0.000557 mg/m³. Compared to the DNEL (consumer) of 3.6 mg/m³, human inhalatory exposure at the estimated local air concentrations does not present a potential risk. The PEC local for air cannot be compared with the PNEC for air (e.g. plant PNEC) since the latter is not available.

The continental concentration of acrylic acid in the atmosphere was estimated to be 0.0000015 mg/m³ and the regional concentration was estimated to be 0.0000669 mg/m³.

According to Q(SAR) data using SRC AOPWIN v1.92a (June 2008) of EPI Suite v.4.0, acrylic acid will be slowly degraded by photochemical processes after exposure to the air reacting with the photochemically produced hydroxyl radicals and with ozone (calculated half-life for a 12-hour day and an overall OH rate constant of $9.7250 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3/\text{molecule-sec}$ is 13.198 hours [$1.5 \times 10^6 \text{ OH/cm}^3$] and a calculated half-life with an overall ozone rate constant of $0.175000 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3/\text{molecule-sec}$ of 6.549 days at $7 \times 10^{11} \text{ mol/cm}^3$).

Acrylic acid is thought to make no contribution to ozone depletion in the atmosphere due to lack of Cl, Br or F substituents. The test substance does not belong to the green house gases listed in P Foster, PV Ramaswamy et al. Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Since the substance has an atmospheric lifetime of far less than a year, no potential for stratospheric ozone depletion is expected. The test substance is not listed in Annex I of Regulation (EC) 2037/2000 on substances that deplete the ozone layer. It also does not belong to the substances listed in Annex I of Directive 67/548/EEC which are classified with R59.

It is not likely to make a significant contribution to photochemical ozone formation in the troposphere. There are no indications that acrylic acid will play a role in acidification due to lack of Cl, F, N or S substituents.

2.2.2.4. Microbiological activity in Sewage Treatment Systems

Table 35: Risk characterization – STP / Manufacture of intermediates (ERC 6a)

Compartment	Concentrations			RCR ²	M _{safe} ³	Safe use
	PEC ¹	PNEC	Unit			
STP	0.01	0.9	mg L ⁻¹	0.0111	19,295,370	yes

¹ Highest PEC local reported as worst case (largest life cycle step)

² RCR as given by EUSES v2.1 calculations

³ Rounded values reported.

The PEC local for sewage treatment plants (STP) was estimated to be 0.01 mg/L at the largest site (worst case). Compared to the PNEC for STP micro-organisms of 0.9 mg/L the exposure to the estimated local STP concentrations of acrylic acid does not present a potential risk.

The PEC / PNEC values and their ratios, expressed as Risk Characterisation Ratios (RCRs), clearly demonstrate that manufacture of intermediates of substance (esterification) of acrylic acid as described does not present a risk neither for the environment nor for human health through environmental exposure. This is also suggested by the M_{safe} values, which exceed the M_{used} values by far.

2.3. Polymerization of Substance

The following risk characterization covers all polymerizations (wet), where the substance is used as monomer (downstream use).

Polymerizations of Acrylic acids are manufacture of Superabsorber Polymers (on-site and off-site, with regard where the substance is produced) and Polyacrylates (on-site and off-site). On-, off-site polymerizations can be captive or merchant use of the substance.

2.3.1. Human Health

2.3.1.1. Workers

- For the RCRs Worker / PROC 1 see Table 20
- For the RCRs Worker / PROC 2 see Table 21
- For the RCRs Worker / PROC 3 see Table 22
- For the RCRs Worker / PROC 4 see Table 30
- For the RCRs Worker / PROC 5 see Table 31
- For the RCRs Worker / PROC 8a see Table 23
- For the RCRs Worker / PROC 8b see Table 24
- For the RCRs Worker / PROC 9 see Table 25

2.3.1.2. Consumers

Not relevant.

2.3.1.3. Indirect exposure to humans via the environment

Indirect exposure to humans via the environment was calculated on a local scale and on a regional scale.

Input data for estimating the RCR:

DNEL (consumer) = 3.6 mg/m³ (corresponding to approx. 1.029 mg/kg bw/d)

The DNEL (consumer) was converted according to the equation:

$$\text{DNEL (mg/kg bw/d)} = \text{DNEL (mg/m}^3\text{)} \times 20 \text{ m}^3 \text{ air/person} / 70 \text{ kg bw}$$

Table 36: Risk characterization – Humans via the environment / Polymerization of substance on-site / off-site (ERC 6c and ERC 6d)

TDI local [mg/kg bw/d]	TDI regional [mg/kg bw/d]	DNEL [mg/kg bw/d]	MOS local	MOS regional	RCR local	RCR regional	Safe use
0.000701*	0.00005 07	1.029	3.17 x 10 ³	7.89 x 10 ⁵	0.0006 81	0.000049 3	yes

* Highest TDI local reported as worst case (based on volume of largest life cycle step superabsorber on-site)

TDI: Total daily intake

MOS: margin of safety; MOS local/regional values from EUSES 2.1 calculations as MOS total exposure

RCR: TDI / DNEL

The risk characterization was performed by calculating the MOS, i.e. the ratio between the total daily intake and the relevant exposure parameter, which is the oral N(L)OAEL from repeated dose toxicity studies. It is assumed that man is exposed throughout his or her lifetime. Additionally, the air concentration to which man is estimated to be exposed can be compared to the inhalatory N(L)OAEL for these endpoints.

The margin of safety (MOS) estimated by EUSES 2.1 was high confirming a safe use on a local and regional scale.

According to the Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (ECHA 2008), the total daily human doses (local and regional) are to be compared with the DNEL value for external exposure. The resulting RCR (TDI: DNEL-ratio) is < 1, indicating safe use.

Based on the calculated exposure estimates as compared to the respective NOAELs and DNEL, the total daily intake for humans via the environment does not present a potential risk.

2.3.2. Environment

The M_{safe} was calculated manually according to the equation:

$$M_{\text{safe}} = M_{\text{used}} \times \text{PNEC} / \text{PEC}_{\text{local}}$$

(with M_{used} = use rate of the substance as defined in the exposure scenario in kg/d– 325.000 t/a as documented in life cycle step 9, Superabsorber on-site, EUSES v2.1; divided by 300 production days = 1,083,333 kg/d, divided by 20 = **54,167 kg/d** [based EUSES default estimation fraction of the main local source of 0.05])

2.3.2.1. Aquatic compartment (incl. sediment)

Table 37: Risk characterization – Aquatic Environment Polymerization of substance on-site / off-site (ERC 6c)

Compartment	Concentrations			RCR ²	M _{safe} ³	Safe use
	PEC ¹	PNEC	Unit			
Freshwater	0.00145	0.003	mg L-1	0.483	112,070	yes
Freshwater sediment	0.00248	0.00514	mg kgwwt-1	0.483	112,265	yes
Marine water	0.000154	0.0003	mg L-1	0.514	105,520	yes
Marine water sediment	0.000264	0.000514	mg kgwwt-1	0.514	105,462	yes

¹ Highest PEC local reported as worst case (largest life cycle step)

² RCR as given by EUSES v2.1 calculations

³ Rounded values reported.

The estimated local concentrations of acrylic acid do not present a potential risk for the respective biota (worst case scenario – largest site). This is supported by the ready biodegradability of acrylic acid as demonstrated in the OECD studies on biodegradation as well as by the results of the sewage treatment plant monitoring program revealing degradation rates of 99.9 % in municipal as well as industrial sewage treatment plants.

2.3.2.2. Terrestrial compartment

Table 38: Risk characterization – Soil / Polymerization of substance on-site / off-site (ERC 6c)

Compartment	Concentrations			RCR ²	M _{safe} ³	Safe use
	PEC ¹	PNEC	Unit			
Soil	0.0351	1	mg kgwwt-1	0.0351	1,543,219	yes

¹ Highest PEC local reported as worst case (largest life cycle step)

² RCR as given by EUSES v2.1 calculations

³ Rounded values reported.

The PEC local for soil was estimated to be 0.0351 mg/kg wwt for grassland for the largest life cycle step (worst case soil grassland according to EUSES 2.1 calculations and largest life cycle step). Compared to the PNEC for soil organisms of 1 mg/kg the exposure to the estimated local soil concentrations does not present a potential risk. Furthermore, acrylic acid is readily biodegradable in OECD biodegradation studies. According to the EU RAR (2002), study results also suggest rapid

degradation in soil. Due to its inherent physico-chemical properties, fugacity models showed that 99 % of acrylic acid emissions have to be expected in the water compartment [EU RAR 2002].

2.3.2.3. Atmospheric compartment

The PEC local for air (annual average) was estimated to be 0.0013 mg/m³. Compared to the DNEL (consumer) of 3.6 mg/m³, human inhalatory exposure at the estimated local air concentrations does not present a potential risk. The PEC local for air cannot be compared with the PNEC for air (e.g. plant PNEC) since the latter is not available.

The continental concentration of acrylic acid in the atmosphere was estimated to be 0.0000015 mg/m³ and the regional concentration was estimated to be 0.0000669 mg/m³.

According to Q(SAR) data using SRC AOPWIN v1.92a (June 2008) of EPI Suite v.4.0, acrylic acid will be slowly degraded by photochemical processes after exposure to the air reacting with the photochemically produced hydroxyl radicals and with ozone (calculated half-life for a 12-hour day and an overall OH rate constant of 9.7250×10^{-12} cm³/molecule-sec is 13.198 hours [1.5×10^6 OH/cm³] and a calculated half-life with an overall ozone rate constant of 0.175000×10^{-17} cm³/molecule-sec of 6.549 days at 7×10^{11} mol/cm³).

Acrylic acid is thought to make no contribution to ozone depletion in the atmosphere due to lack of Cl, Br or F substituents. The test substance does not belong to the green house gases listed in P Foster, PV Ramaswamy et al. Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Since the substance has an atmospheric lifetime of far less than a year, no potential for stratospheric ozone depletion is expected. The test substance is not listed in Annex I of Regulation (EC) 2037/2000 on substances that deplete the ozone layer. It also does not belong to the substances listed in Annex I of Directive 67/548/EEC which are classified with R59.

It is not likely to make a significant contribution to photochemical ozone formation in the troposphere. There are no indications that acrylic acid will play a role in acidification due to lack of Cl, F, N or S substituents.

2.3.2.4. Microbiological activity in Sewage Treatment Systems

Table 39: Risk characterization – STP / Polymerization of substance on-site / off-site (ERC 6c)

Compartment	Concentrations			RCR ²	Msafe ³	Safe use
	PEC ¹	PNEC	Unit			
STP	0.01	0.9	mg L-1	0.011	4,875,030	yes

¹ Highest PEC local reported as worst case (largest life cycle step)

² RCR as given by EUSES v2.1 calculations

³ Rounded values reported.

The PEC local for sewage treatment plants (STP) was estimated to be 0.01 mg/L at the largest site (worst case). Compared to the PNEC for STP micro-organisms of 0.9 mg/L the exposure to the estimated local STP concentrations of acrylic acid does not present a potential risk.

The PEC / PNEC values and their ratios, expressed as Risk Characterisation Ratios (RCRs), clearly demonstrate that Polymerisation of substance (Superabsorber) of acrylic acid as described does not present a risk neither for the environment nor for human health through environmental exposure. This is also suggested by the M_{safe} values, which exceed the M_{used} values by far.

2.4. Other Uses of Substance as Intermediate

2.4.1. Human Health

2.4.1.1. Workers

For the RCRs Worker / PROC 1 see Table 20
 For the RCRs Worker / PROC 2 see Table 21
 For the RCRs Worker / PROC 3 see Table 22
 For the RCRs Worker / PROC 4 see Table 30
 For the RCRs Worker / PROC 5 see Table 31
 For the RCRs Worker / PROC 8a see Table 23
 For the RCRs Worker / PROC 8b see Table 24
 For the RCRs Worker / PROC 9 see Table 25

2.4.1.2. Consumers

Not relevant.

2.4.1.3. Indirect exposure to humans via the environment

Indirect exposure to humans via the environment was calculated on a local scale and on a regional scale.

Input data for estimating the RCR:

DNEL (consumer) = 3.6 mg/m^3 (corresponding to approx. 1.029 mg/kg bw/d)

The DNEL (consumer) was converted according to the equation:

$\text{DNEL (mg/kg bw/d)} = \text{DNEL (mg/m}^3) \times 20 \text{ m}^3 \text{ air/person} / 70 \text{ kg bw}$

Table 40: Risk characterization – Humans via the environment / other uses of substance as intermediate (ERC 6a)

TDI local [mg/kg bw/d]	TDI regional [mg/kg bw/d]	DNEL [mg/kg bw/d]	MOS local	MOS regional	RCR local	RCR regional	Safe use
0.000147	0.00005 07	1.029	2.71×10^5	7.89×10^5	0.000143	0.0000049 3	yes

TDI: Total daily intake

MOS: margin of safety; MOS local/regional values from EUSES 2.1 calculations as MOS total exposure

RCR: TDI / DNEL

The risk characterization was performed by calculating the MOS, i.e. the ratio between the total daily intake and the relevant exposure parameter, which is the oral N(L)OAEL from repeated dose toxicity studies. It is assumed that man is exposed throughout his or her lifetime. Additionally, the air concentration to which man is estimated to be exposed can be compared to the inhalatory N(L)OAEL for these endpoints.

The margin of safety (MOS) estimated by EUSES 2.1 was high confirming a safe use on a local and regional scale.

According to the Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (ECHA 2008), the total daily human doses (local and regional) are to be compared with the

DNEL value for external exposure. The resulting RCR (TDI : DNEL-ratio) is < 1, indicating safe use.

Based on the calculated exposure estimates as compared to the respective NOAELs and DNEL, the total daily intake for humans via the environment does not present a potential risk.

2.4.2. Environment

The M_{safe} was calculated manually according to the equation:

$$M_{\text{safe}} = M_{\text{used}} \times \text{PNEC} / \text{PEC}_{\text{local}}$$

(with M_{used} = use rate of the substance as defined in the exposure scenario in kg/d– 117,000 t/a as documented in life cycle step 13, Other applications, EUSES v2.1; divided by 300 production days = 390,000 kg/d, divided by 6.67 = **58,470 kg/d** [based EUSES default estimation fraction of the main local source of 0.15])

2.4.2.1. Aquatic compartment (incl. sediment)

Table 41: Risk characterization – Aquatic Environment / Other uses of substance as intermediate (ERC 6a)

Compartment	Concentrations			RCR ¹	M _{safe} ²	Safe use
	PEC	PNEC	Unit			
Freshwater	0.00145	0.003	mg L-1	0.483	120,972	yes
Freshwater sediment	0.00248	0.00514	mg kgwwt-1	0.483	121,184	yes
Marine water	0.000154	0.0003	mg L-1	0.514	113,903	yes
Marine water sediment	0.000264	0.000514	mg kgwwt-1	0.514	113,839	yes

¹ RCR as given by EUSES v2.1 calculations

² Rounded values reported.

The estimated local concentrations of acrylic acid do not present a potential risk for the respective biota (worst case scenario – largest site). This is supported by the ready biodegradability of acrylic acid as demonstrated in the OECD studies on biodegradation as well as by the results of the sewage treatment plant monitoring program revealing degradation rates of 99.9 % in municipal as well as industrial sewage treatment plants.

2.4.2.2. Terrestrial compartment

Table 42: Risk characterization – Soil / Other uses of substance as intermediate (ERC 6a)

Compartment	Concentrations			RCR ¹	M _{safe} ²	Safe use
	PEC	PNEC	Unit			
Soil	0.00248	1	mg kgwwt-1	0.00248	23,576,613	yes

¹ RCR as given by EUSES v2.1 calculations

² Rounded values reported.

The PEC local for soil was estimated to be 0.00248 mg/kg wwt for grassland (worst case soil grassland according to EUSES 2.1 calculations). Compared to the PNEC for soil organisms of 1 mg/kg the exposure to the estimated local soil concentrations does not present a potential risk. Furthermore, acrylic acid is readily biodegradable in OECD biodegradation studies. According to

the EU RAR (2002), study results also suggest rapid degradation in soil. Due to its inherent physico-chemical properties, fugacity models showed that 99 % of acrylic acid emissions have to be expected in the water compartment [EU RAR 2002].

2.4.2.3. Atmospheric compartment

The PEC local for air (annual average) was estimated to be 0.000201 mg/m³. Compared to the DNEL (consumer) of 3.6 mg/m³, human inhalatory exposure at the estimated local air concentrations does not present a potential risk. The PEC local for air cannot be compared with the PNEC for air (e.g. plant PNEC) since the latter is not available.

The continental concentration of acrylic acid in the atmosphere was estimated to be 0.0000015 mg/m³ and the regional concentration was estimated to be 0.0000669 mg/m³.

According to Q(SAR) data using SRC AOPWIN v1.92a (June 2008) of EPI Suite v.4.0, acrylic acid will be slowly degraded by photochemical processes after exposure to the air reacting with the photochemically produced hydroxyl radicals and with ozone (calculated half-life for a 12-hour day and an overall OH rate constant of 9.7250×10^{-12} cm³/molecule-sec is 13.198 hours [1.5×10^6 OH/cm³] and a calculated half-life with an overall ozone rate constant of 0.175000×10^{-17} cm³/molecule-sec of 6.549 days at 7×10^{11} mol/cm³).

Acrylic acid is thought to make no contribution to ozone depletion in the atmosphere due to lack of Cl, Br or F substituents. The test substance does not belong to the green house gases listed in P Foster, PV Ramaswamy et al. Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Since the substance has an atmospheric lifetime of far less than a year, no potential for stratospheric ozone depletion is expected. The test substance is not listed in Annex I of Regulation (EC) 2037/2000 on substances that deplete the ozone layer. It also does not belong to the substances listed in Annex I of Directive 67/548/EEC which are classified with R59.

It is not likely to make a significant contribution to photochemical ozone formation in the troposphere. There are no indications that acrylic acid will play a role in acidification due to lack of Cl, F, N or S substituents.

2.4.2.4. Microbiological activity in Sewage Treatment Systems

Table 43: Risk characterization – STP / Other uses of substance as intermediate (ERC 6a)

Compartment	Concentrations			RCR ¹	Msafe ²	Safe use
	PEC	PNEC	Unit			
STP	0.01	0.9	mg L-1	0.011	5,262,300	yes

¹ RCR as given by EUSES v2.1 calculations

² Rounded values reported.

The PEC local for sewage treatment plants (STP) was estimated to be 0.01 mg/L at the largest site (worst case). Compared to the PNEC for STP micro-organisms of 0.9 mg/L the exposure to the estimated local STP concentrations of acrylic acid does not present a potential risk.

The PEC / PNEC values and their ratios, expressed as Risk Characterisation Ratios (RCRs), clearly demonstrate that laboratory applications of acrylic acid as described does not present a risk neither for the environment nor for human health through environmental exposure. This is also suggested by the M_{safe} values, which exceed the M_{used} values by far.

2.5. Use as a laboratory reagent

2.5.1. Human Health

2.5.1.1. Workers

Table 44: Risk characterization - Worker / PROC 15

Exposure	Exposure estimate			DNEL	RCR per route			Safe use
	Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3		Scenario 1	Scenario 2	Scenario 3	
Long-term exposure, local, inhalative	3.004 mg/m ³	3.004 mg/m ³	18.025 mg/m ³	30,0 mg/m ³	0.10	0.10	0.60	yes
Long-term exposure, local, dermal	20.0 µg/cm ²	20.0 µg/cm ²	20.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.02	0.02	0.02	yes
Short-term exposure, local, dermal	20.0 µg/cm ²	20.0 µg/cm ²	20.0 µg/cm ²	1000 µg/cm ²	0.02	0.02	0.02	yes

Scenario 1: Indoors, LEV, no respirator, duration of activity > 4hrs.

Scenario 2: Indoors, no LEV, respirator (90% efficiency), duration of activity >4hrs

Scenario 3: Indoors, no LEV, no respirator, duration of activity 1-4hrs

2.5.1.2. Consumers

Not relevant.

2.5.1.3. Indirect exposure to humans via the environment

Indirect exposure to humans via the environment was calculated on a local scale and on a regional scale.

Input data for estimating the RCR:

DNEL (consumer) = 3.6 mg/m³ (corresponding to approx. 1.029 mg/kg bw/d)

The DNEL (consumer) was converted according to the equation:

DNEL (mg/kg bw/d) = DNEL (mg/m³) x 20 m³ air/person / 70 kg bw)

Table 45: Risk characterization – Humans via the environment / Use as a laboratory agent (ERC 1)

TDI local [mg/kg bw/d]	TDI regional [mg/kg bw/d]	DNEL [mg/kg bw/d]	MOS local	MOS regional	RCR local	RCR regional	Safe use
0.00229*	0.0000507	1.029	1.75 x 10 ⁴	7.89 x 10 ⁵	0.0022	0.0000493	yes

Values used from scenario 1 manufacture and distribution of substance as laboratories are either associated with the production sites or will be operated accordingly.

* Highest TDI local reported as worst case (largest site)

TDI: Total daily intake

MOS: margin of safety; MOS local/regional values from EUSES 2.1 calculations as MOS total exposure

RCR: TDI / DNEL

The risk characterization was performed by calculating the MOS, i.e. the ratio between the total daily intake and the relevant exposure parameter, which is the oral N(L)OAEL from repeated dose toxicity studies. It is assumed that man is exposed throughout his or her lifetime. Additionally, the air concentration to which man is estimated to be exposed can be compared to the inhalatory N(L)OAEL for these endpoints.

The margin of safety (MOS) estimated by EUSES 2.1 was high confirming a safe use on a local and regional scale.

According to the Guidance on information requirements and chemical safety assessment, Chapter R.16 (ECHA 2008), the total daily human doses (local and regional) are to be compared with the DNEL value for external exposure. The resulting RCR (TDI: DNEL-ratio) is < 1, indicating safe use.

Based on the calculated exposure estimates as compared to the respective NOAELs and DNEL, the total daily intake for humans via the environment does not present a potential risk.

2.5.2. Environment

See 2.1.2. Environment

2.6. Overall Exposure (combined for all exposure routes)

2.6.1. Human Health (combined for all exposure routes)

Based on the risk assessment, the substance Acrylic acid is considered as safe (no risk) for workers at any time of the production and processing (esterification, polymerization) end use. The unreacted residual monomer content in a polymer is to be regarded as impurity (<1000ppm) that need not to be critically addressed in the risk assessment.

2.6.2. Environment (combined for all emission sources)

Based on the risk assessment, the substance Acrylic acid is considered as safe (no risk) for the environment at any time of the production and processing (esterification, polymerization) end use. The unreacted residual monomer content in a polymer is to be regarded as impurity (<1000ppm) that need not to be critically addressed in the risk assessment.

END OF SDS